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Azithromycin Better Than Doxy for MGD

A new study says azithromycin better improves signs and symptoms—at a lower price. **By John Murphy, Executive Editor**

Oral doxycycline has been a mainstay for treating meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD), but now a head-to-head clinical trial has found that oral azithromycin works better, faster, cheaper and with fewer side effects.

The study, published in February’s British Journal of Ophthalmology, found that both oral azithromycin and doxycycline improved symptoms of MGD. However, patients on azithromycin had relatively better improvement in symptoms and signs along with fewer side effects.

The researchers randomly assigned 110 patients with MGD to receive either a five-day course of oral azithromycin (500mg on day one, then 250mg/day) or one month of oral doxycycline (200mg/day). Patients continued eyelid warming/cleaning and artificial tears.

After two months, both treatment groups showed a significant improvement of clinical signs and symptoms. But, the percentage of clinical improvement was significantly better in the azithromycin group, with particularly more improvement in conjunctival redness and ocular surface staining.

In addition, patients in the doxycycline group had more gastrointestinal side effects (26%) than those in the azithromycin group (4%).

Azithromycin is also much less expensive. “Since MGD is a chronic disease, multiple five-day pulse treatment with azithromycin would be cheaper than long-term daily oral doxycycline,” the authors wrote.

Alan Kabat, OD, medical director for the TearWell Advanced Dry Eye Treatment Center in Memphis, Tenn., says the study’s findings are welcome news. “I’d rather tell my patients to do something once a day for a week than twice a day for a month, especially if the outcome will be the same,” he says. “Going forward, I will certainly consider using azithromycin one week per month in my recalcitrant MGD patients.”

He emphasizes that oral treatment is not the go-to therapy for patients with MGD, but rather it’s for patients in whom conservative treatment proves insufficient or ineffective.
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Visual Fixation in Newborns Predicts Childhood Cognitive Development

Catching a baby’s eye is more than one of the joys of early parenthood. It’s also a sign of brain development. A new study in *The Journal of Neuroscience* found that early visual fixation predicts neurocognitive development.

“For many years, we have suspected that such links exist,” says Glen T. Steele, OD, FCOVD, professor of Pediatric Optometry at Southern College of Optometry, and chair of the InfantSee program and Children’s Vision Committee of the American Optometric Association.

In particular, the study sheds light on the scientific relevance of the eye contact of newborns. Researchers at the University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Central Hospital in Finland investigated the relationship between newborn visual fixation (VF) and gaze behavior to performance in visuomotor and visual reasoning tasks in two cohorts with cognitive follow-up at two (n=57) and five (n=1,410) years of age.

They determined that newborn VF is significantly related to visuomotor performance at both two and five years, as well as to visual reasoning at five years of age.

According to the authors, their findings suggest that newborn VF is supported by brain-wide subcortical networks and represents an early building block for the developmental cascades of cognition. Their study highlights the need to develop objective and quantitative measures of newborn eye contact to help recognize developmental risks early on.

To Dr. Steele, this is a very important article that joins a growing body of work linking visual fixation ability to overall development, even including autism. He has lectured on the development and importance of looking behavior, and he says the article further emphasizes the need for earlier identification and intervention in all aspects of visual fixation and function. “We can’t wait until they are three or five years old to get involved, as much of their future abilities are already determined in these early years,” he says.

**Patient Takes ‘Contact Lens Adherence’ to a New Level**

Optometrist Monika Marczak, of McMurray, Pa., found this contact lens that was “lost” under a patient’s lid for two and a half months. The patient hadn’t complained of any foreign body sensation, photophobia or decreased vision. But she did report mucus discharge the night before and a slight swelling of the top lid.

“Once I stained the eye with a fluorescein sodium strip and inverted the top lid, there was a thick layer of mucus deep in the upper fornix,” Dr. Marczak says. “I removed the strand of mucus with jewelers’ forceps, and only then was I able to see there was a contact lens that was even deeper within the fornix.”

**Scope Bill in New Mexico**

Optometrists in New Mexico may have reason to celebrate soon, as a bill expanding their scope of practice passed in the state legislature with a unanimous vote on March 25.

Specifically, the bill allows ODs to prescribe hydrocodone and hydrocodone-combination medications. It also permits optometrists to administer epinephrine auto-injectors to counter anaphylaxis.

In addition to prescribing powers, the bill gives the board of optometry the “sole authority to determine what constitutes the practice of optometry in accordance with the provisions of the Optometry Act” and “sole jurisdiction to exercise any other powers and duties under that act.”

At press time, the bill was on the desk of Gov. Susanna Martinez awaiting her signature.
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Highlights of SECO 2015

In treating glaucoma patients, don’t focus only on findings such as high IOP. Also consider the impact that glaucoma has on quality of life, said David Friedman, MD, during the “New Angles in Glaucoma” special session, which he presented with Murray Fingeret, OD, at SECO 2015 in Atlanta in early March.

“The people across the chair are not just people who need to be told that they have so many ganglion cells. That’s such a small part of what we’re doing in the clinic for our patients,” said Dr. Friedman, who is the director of the Dana Center for Preventive Ophthalmology at Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine. “We talk a lot about quality of life, but we do very little about it.”

Focusing on quality of life helps patients achieve the best outcomes possible, Dr. Friedman said. “Rehabilitation needs to be part of how we care for patients.”

Other Special Sessions

Also at SECO 2015, speakers Eric Sigler, MD, and Mohammad Rafieetary, OD, focused in part on the technologies that have made sweeping changes in diagnostics in the “Spectrum of Retinal Detachments” special session. Widefield angiography, for instance, gives doctors a better view of the retina, allowing them to identify tears along the periphery—possibly dispelling the “misconception that vitreous detachment only includes the posterior pole,” Dr. Rafieetary said. Ignoring changes in the periphery, he added, could lead to the development of horseshoe tears.

The “Cutting Edge Cornea” course offered a look at recent advancements in corneal surgeries, including a sneak peek at corneal inlays. According to speaker Terry Kim, MD, corneal surgeon and professor at Duke University School of Medicine, recent breakthroughs in the safety and design of these devices could lead to their use for presbyopia management.

And the Award Goes To…

In addition to continuing education, eye care professionals also came together to honor colleagues who have contributed significant advancements to the profession.

SECO’s highest honor, Optometrist of the South, was awarded to Richard Phillips, OD, of Germantown, Tenn., for his nearly three decades of practice and countless leadership roles.

Rob Pate, OD, of Hoover, Ala., was awarded Young Optometrist of the South for the significant impact he has made in less than 10 years of practice.

Last but certainly not least was the award for Paraoptometric of the South, presented to Caroline Riggins, CPO, of Enoree, SC. As president of the South Carolina Paraoptometric Association from 2008 to 2011, she helped revitalize its lecture program.

Outgoing SECO president Jim Herman, OD, passed the gavel to incoming president Stan Dickerson, OD.
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**The Societal Network**

A new shared database lets ODs pool their clinical data, for the benefit of everyone. **By Jack Persico, Editor-in-Chief**

Imagine every optometrist in the country being able to collaborate on outcomes for glaucoma management, amblyopia treatment, contact lens-induced ulcers, myopia progression and more using evidence-based outcomes to improve our patient’s care instead of waiting years for clinical trials,” said Jeff Michaels, OD, in an announcement about the AOA’s new clinical data registry. “As the primary eye care profession, this is a huge opportunity for optometry and the millions of patients we serve every year.”

**Treat Locally, Act Globally**

That tantalizing prospect is how the AOA describes the Measures and Outcomes Registry for Eyecare, or MORE for short. It’s a good pitch. Doctors by their very nature want to help people—so, here’s a chance to join in a project that helps all patients, not just the one in your chair right now. Your patient base is then no longer just your own community, it’s society at large.

It’s a logical extension of the increasingly interconnected way of things nowadays. With online access ubiquitous and social networking a routine part of everyday life, doctors are sharing information and advice about patient care all the time. But discussions online can get wild and woolly, and privacy is always a concern. Plus, there’s no systematic way to analyze the data. Services like the MORE registry will crunch the numbers, helping you gauge the success of your patient care by creating profession-wide statistics and benchmarks. It’s optometry’s foray into the world of so-called “big data,” a trendy phrase for the mining of massively large databases to improve knowledge.

It’ll also help you with the mundane and often frustrating work of satisfying EHR meaningful use requirements and reporting to Medicare’s Physician Quality Reporting System. The AOA is working with EHR vendors to pull relevant data on patient care without compromising the privacy of patients or doctors. The Academy of Ophthalmology has a similar registry, called IRIS. Hopefully, there will come a day when the two databases can be merged or at least connected.

In the meantime, look for MORE to launch at the AOA’s annual meeting in Seattle this June.

**A Registry on Your Wrist**

Patients are getting connected, too. Apple’s new initiative called ResearchKit puts software on phones and, soon, watches that lets patients register for medical studies and enter health information directly into a clinical trial database.

When everyone is walking around with, in effect, a Star Trek tricorder in their hand or strapped to their wrist, ambitious stuff becomes doable and often frustrating work of satisfying EHR meaningful use requirements and reporting to Medicare’s Physician Quality Reporting System. The AOA is working with EHR vendors to pull relevant data on patient care without compromising the privacy of patients or doctors. The Academy of Ophthalmology has a similar registry, called IRIS. Hopefully, there will come a day when the two databases can be merged or at least connected.

In the meantime, look for MORE to launch at the AOA’s annual meeting in Seattle this June.
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Nothing on earth is more important than family. I want my family to be happy, happy, happy all of the time! Therefore, I avoid them whenever possible.

Just kidding. The fact that my family spreads from Texas to California to Ohio actually creates a buffer that allows me to spend quality time on the phone or Facetime with each and every one of them. I always make sure that I tell each of them something meaningful.

More often than not, I say this: “Here’s your mother.” That always cheers them up.

It Isn’t Chair Time, It’s Quality Time
But as lovely as family may be, family also may cause our most palpable distress.

Nowhere is this more true than in the office. Young optometrists, please listen. Do not believe for one minute that your family will be your first and best patients. Oh, they’ll probably come to you for eye care and eyewear. After all, the price is right, right? But, they will not lead to a profitable practice. That’s not their problem. It is yours and yours alone.

I’ve been in practice for 35 years. That means my family includes people I would have never met if it wasn’t for the office. I love them. They (mostly) love or at least kindly tolerate me. It’s a family.

Sure, we squabble. I fuss at them for saying asinine things like, “My insurance won’t let me come for an exam this year.” Folks, you can have your eyes examined any damn time you want. Suppose your insurance only pays every other year; that just means you get 50% off EVERY YEAR—but only if you show up every year.

And they ask, “Are your glasses cheap?” Yes, my glasses are free! But your glasses, purchased right here, will NEVER be CHEAP. Inexpensive? I can do that. Worth it? I can do that, too. Cheap? No.

“How would I know? She never drove me anywhere. But I can tell you whether she does or does not meet the legal visual requirement for driving in the state of West Virginia. So, tell you what... If you’ll call my Mom and tell her she can’t drive, I will call yours. Deal?”

When You’re Here, You’re Family
It’s just family stuff—things we all face as sons and daughters and spouses and parents and grandparents. Now, for me, I still think spanking can be a good teaching tool. However, my glaucoma patients who swear they take their meds every day but they’re still on the same sample bottle I gave them last summer may disagree, smack me back, or, more likely, prosecute.

A jury of my OD peers would never convict me.

But if you don’t believe in spanking, how about a “time out”? Next time your patient’s cell phone goes off during the exam, try stepping out of the room for a while. An hour or so should do it.

Also, the next time a long-lost cousin comes up at the family barbecue and asks you about his eyes, just smile and “remind him” that you’re a dentist. And don’t forget to tell him you moved your office to Canada.

Treat the patients like family, that’s all I’m saying. That means listen to them. Teach them and learn from them. Respect them. And, when you need to, send them outside to cut their own switch. ■
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Giant Cell Arteritis Warnings

Don’t miss the subtle signs of this potentially sight-robbing condition.

By Richard Mangan, OD

Giant cell arteritis (GCA), also known as temporal arteritis, is the most common vasculitis in adults older than 50 years, with an incidence of approximately 18 per 100,000 per year. It affects women four times more often than it affects men and has a prevalence that is highest in caucasians, especially those of Scandinavian or Northern European decent.

The disease is a vasculitis affecting medium and large-sized vessels that commonly, although not exclusively, develop in the superficial temporal artery and other extracranial branches of the carotid artery. This explains why a headache is the most common symptom associated with GCA.

Often, GCA can develop into sudden vision loss and is considered a true ocular emergency. This article reviews the sequelae, diagnosis and treatment of GCA.

AAION

A highly-feared sequelae of GCA involves sudden, painless and profound vision loss in one or both eyes secondary to arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy (AAION). A study estimates that one out of five patients diagnosed with GCA will develop monocular vision loss related to AAION, with more than one-third experiencing one or more episodes of transient vision loss prior to the event. Vision is often count fingers or worse and is accompanied by pronounced afferent pupillary defect. If left untreated, approximately 50% will go on to lose vision in the fellow eye within days to weeks of onset. AAION secondary to GCA is considered a true ocular emergency.

In the acute phase, the optic nerve will appear swollen and pale, often associated with flame-shaped hemorrhages. Later, as the swelling subsides, optic atrophy sets in. Other signs that may be associated with AAION include cotton wool spots, central retinal artery occlusion, branch retinal artery occlusion and cranial nerve involvement (especially CN VI). The affected eye will often show an altitudinal visual field defect, but arcuate and cecocentral scotomas’ have also been reported.

Case History

Perform a thorough and careful case history when confronted with an acute ischemic optic neuropathy.

While GCA most commonly affects patients older than 65 years, be on the lookout for this condition in any patients older than 50 years who complain of antecedent or simultaneous temporal head pain, scalp tenderness and jaw claudication (pain with chewing). Proximal muscle and joint pain (polymyalgia rheumatica), as well as constitutional symptoms such as fatigue, sweating, fever and weight loss, should raise suspicion for GCA. It is important to note, however, that approximately one out of every five patients who present with visual loss secondary to AAION do so without any systemic complaints.

Diagnosis

How important is it to confirm the diagnosis through temporal artery biopsy before starting treatment?

Initiation of oral or IV steroids can adversely affect the results of a temporal artery biopsy, the gold-
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standard diagnostic procedure in confirming GCA. However, when dealing with visual symptoms or sudden severe vision loss in one eye related to AAION, waiting for a biopsy result is not an option. Given the potentially devastating consequences of delaying treatment, order bloodwork (CBC, ESR, CRP, FBS, FTA-ABS and ANA) and initiate treatment immediately, ideally in cooperation with a specialist in internal medicine or rheumatology.

Studies show that if aggressive steroid treatment is initiated within the first 24 hours of the onset of visual symptoms, the patient has a better than 50% chance of obtaining some improvement in vision. A delay in treatment drops these odds to approximately 5%.11

A temporal artery biopsy should still be ordered and ideally performed within one week of starting steroids. Evidence suggests that immediate treatment does not usually confound biopsy results within this time frame and in some cases for as long as three weeks out.12

**False Negatives**

Note that not all patients with GCA will have abnormal labs. Fifteen percent to 30% of patients with positive temporal artery biopsies have a normal ESR. It is also important to note that biopsy of the temporal artery carries a significant false negative rate (5% to 9%) due to skip lesions.16

The American College of Rheumatology (ACR) has developed a five-point scoring system with equal weighting for each of the following five parameters for GCA diagnosis:17

- Age more than 50 years.
- A Westergren ESR greater than 50.
- Temporal artery tenderness or abnormality on exam (table 1).
- New-onset headache.
- Positive temporal artery biopsy.

If three out of five are considered positive, this carries a sensitivity (93.5%) and specificity (91.2%) that distinguishes GCA from other forms of vasculitis. Therefore, even in cases where the ESR and biopsy results are inconclusive, patients that present with an AAION and have the other risk factors listed here should be treated promptly.

**IV vs. Oral Steroid Delivery**

Research has yet to clearly establish the benefits of IV methylprednisolone vs. oral steroids with respect to improved visual recovery. Some studies report improved visual recovery with IV delivery while others report no difference.19-20

The case for IV administration of methylprednisolone instead of oral administration of steroids is based more on the importance of prompt initiation of treatment and control of side-effects from treatment rather than better outcomes. First, compliance is 100% when medication is given intravenously. Also, drugs are typically more potent and act faster when delivered intravenously. Studies suggest that patients started on a three-day induction dose of IV methylprednisolone, 15mg/kg/d (about 1g per day), followed by oral prednisolone therapy (40 to 60mg/d) are able to be weaned off oral steroids faster than when placed on oral treatment alone.20 Patient tolerance of IV methylprednisolone is similar to that found with oral administration.

What is generally agreed upon is that patients with transient or persistent vision loss need immediate treatment by either delivery method, whichever will be most timely. In general, therapy with high-dose oral steroids is necessary for several weeks and is then followed by a slow taper and maintenance dosing to maintain a low ESR and CRP.

**Prognosis**

The main goal of treatment is to prevent involvement of the fellow eye and other systemic vascular complications such as stroke or myocardial infarction. While some anecdotal cases report significant visual improvement with prompt treatment, the prognosis for visual recovery from AAION is generally poor. In fact, 30% of patients will continue to suffer visual decline despite aggressive IV methylprednisolone treatment.22

Risk factors for progressive visual loss despite steroid therapy include an elevated CRP, older age, and significant optic disc swelling.23

Giant cell arteritis is a severe inflammatory condition that can lead to stroke, blindness, and heart
attack. Two thirds of patients with GCA offer a chief complaint of headache or temporal head pain. Knowing the other signs, symptoms, and risk factors for GCA may allow you to act in time so as to avoid serious complications, like blindness, from happening on your watch.


Fig. 3. In the late phase of the angiogram, leakage of dye is bound by the area of optic disc swelling.
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A colleague recently asked me to take a look at a friend of hers, a professional pilot for private jet services. One year prior, the pilot needed his glasses remade three times by yet another optometric colleague, and he was still unhappy.

He had his first symptom of double vision in 1994 and recalled getting his first prism in 1996 after suffering a concussion as a firefighter. He was given what he was told were “muscle-building glasses.”

We were immediately sworn to secrecy to not call up the FAA because he had been flying a plane for 23 of the past 24 hours. Upon final approach to the airport, he clearly saw two runways, one next the other, and wondered on which one should he land the plane. How to pick? He closed one eye and said, “That must be the one.” When he opened his other eye, he looked harder and harder to move the second one over to the first one, and then brought the plane down.

He knew that he had pushed himself beyond his limits and never wanted to do that again.

**History**

In October 1999, he had sustained another concussion in a motorcycle accident. In February 2000, he had fusion of his C4-C6 vertebrae. In 2010, he underwent fusion of L4-L5-S1 vertebrae.

We laughed when he proudly stated that he was “as flexible as an oak tree,” but this actually raised a red flag because of the likely connection between posture and movement with vision and refractive conditions. With his restricted back movement, we expected some interesting asymmetries in his visual system—and we weren’t disappointed.

We have to admit that we don’t neutralize a new patient’s glasses until we’re done with the exam because we don’t want to be biased by the prior data. And this patient had optometric data going back to 2009 for comparison.

**Diagnostic Data**

Visual acuity with his current glasses was quite good at 20/19 OD, 20/14 OS and 20/13 OU. A cover test over his glasses, which he said had prism in them, revealed 10Δ exophoria, 3Δ right hyper at distance and 4Δ exophoria, 3Δ right hyper at near.

His refraction was pretty straightforward: +2.75 OD and +3.00 -0.75 x 180 OS. With his glasses, near point of convergence was 4”/6” OD out, and he reported diplopia spontaneously.

The Worth 4-dot test was quite revealing. He saw five dots, which indicates that his eyes are out of alignment relative to the lights whenever he looked 20° or more downward or 35° to his right or left. This clearly showed that his binocularity was fragile, to say the least.

In the phoropter, with his new refraction, we performed vertical ranges with prism moving in front of the left eye with the right eye as the reference eye and found:

- Left infra duction showed a break at 13.5Δ and a recovery of 12.0Δ
- Left supra duction showed a break at -10.0Δ and a recovery of -11.5Δ

This means that he has a total vertical range of half a prism diopter at distance and needed between 11.5Δ and 12.0Δ of vertical prism. He also needed at least 3Δ more over his glasses. At near, he had the same half a prism diopter of range, but this range increased to 14.0Δ to 14.5Δ of vertical prism.

Although patients with these verticals can function with less prism...
in their glasses, they’ll compensate with a head tilt. In some cases, the glasses themselves have gotten out of adjustment. Induced vertical prism can occur when one lens is high and the other is low relative to the visual axes. This patient’s glasses were slightly out of kilter and he had a small head tilt, but nothing extraordinary.

What about his horizontal ranges, you ask? At distance, his base-in range was a respectable break at 9Δ with recovery at 6Δ, but his base-out was non-existent. At -4Δ or 4Δ of base-in, he broke into seeing double vision while moving toward base-out. He had to go back to 5Δ of base-in to recover fusion again. So, based on the recoveries, his zone of comfortable binocular vision should be a range of 1Δ horizontally and a half a prism diopter vertically. Yes indeed, as flexible as an oak tree!

**Previous Data**

Now it was time to see what was in his glasses as determined by his previous eye care providers. His habitual glasses were:
- +3.00 -0.50 x 30 +2.50 add 3Δ base-down
- +3.00 -1.00 x 167 +2.50 add 3Δ base-up

He had been prescribed only 6Δ of vertical prism, yet his testing showed he needed a minimum of 11.5Δ to not have to tilt his head or “work” at it.

Most concerning was that his binocular testing from the previous optometric records was limited to a cover test and, in one or two instances, a phoria measure, which is only a central tendency measurement. This is not sufficient, so be sure to investigate vergence ranges.

By digging deeper than the basic sight-based examination, you’ll find the source of the patient’s visual issue, which will allow you to finally address it. In this patient’s case, giving more and more prism, or giving the prism he had before simply because he had it before without doing the requisite testing, was just not solving his problem.

Fortunately, he was no longer flying commercially, so we didn’t have to “ground” him. He will begin vision therapy soon after receiving his new glasses with a goal to reduce the amount of the prism needed for fusion and to improve his control over his visual system.14

---

A 68-year-old Asian female came in for a cataract evaluation, but her angles were so narrow by gonioscopy that I was afraid to dilate her. Do I send her out for a laser peripheral iridotomy first, and then dilate her to assess the cataract?

“Ideal care involves a dilated peripheral exam before cataract surgery,” says David Friedman, MD, PhD, professor of ophthalmology at the Wilmer Eye Institute and director of the Dana Center for Preventive Ophthalmology at Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine. But, this patient’s case is not an ideal situation. “It’s a difficult problem and one that requires some judgment. And you’ll get a lot of different responses from different doctors,” Dr. Friedman says.

In his opinion, “I think the risk of an acute attack is very low, even if the angles are closed.”

The question boils down to whether the patient appears ripe for cataract surgery.

- **Cataract surgery is likely.** “If you think this is a visually-significant cataract and surgery is imminent, it’s reasonable to refer to your cataract surgeon. Be sure to get a look at the nerve and macula through an undilated pupil before you refer, to alleviate any fundus concerns,” Dr. Friedman says.

  The surgeon will dilate the eye at the time of surgery and take out the cataract. “The likelihood that the patient will have an acute angle-closure attack when dilated at the time of surgery is really low,” he says. “I even know some surgeons who will use dilation as a provocative test to see if an iridotomy is needed. I don’t personally do that but, because this is an area with limited evidence to support what we do, it’s a fairly reasonable approach.”

  Furthermore, “once the cataract is out, there’s pretty much no risk of an acute attack. It would be incredibly unlikely,” Dr. Friedman says. Cataract surgery is essentially the cure for angle closure. “Taking out the lens will open the angle permanently in virtually anyone,” he says.

- **Cataract surgery is not likely.** “If the patient doesn’t have a visually significant cataract, and therefore surgery is unlikely in the near future, then I would refer the patient for an iridotomy and monitor for cataract over time,” Dr. Friedman says. “When you do an iridotomy, the angle opens up in about three-quarters of people. So it definitely does alter angle configuration in the majority of patients in whom it’s done.”

  Again, this is the case in which the patient does not have visual symptoms. “I wouldn’t insist that the lens should come out just because there’s angle closure or residual angle closure after an iridotomy,” Dr. Friedman says. “I think that’s going a little too far, because there certainly are risks with cataract surgery—low risks, but risks all the same.”

Does the consideration that the patient is Asian factor into the decision of whether to dilate?

No, says Dr. Friedman, who has researched the epidemiology of angle-closure glaucoma in Asian populations.

“While Asians may have a slightly higher rate of angle closure and angle-closure glaucoma, I still think the risk of acute attack with dilation is very, very small,” he says. Make gonioscopy part of your routine on all patients with shallow chambers, Asian or otherwise, and document your results clearly and carefully.
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Corneal ectasia can severely impair vision, especially in the progressive form caused by the inherent structural instability of the cornea. The clinical signs can challenge your detection skills—corneas with subclinical keratoconus are clinically normal in appearance but have subtle irregularities on corneal topography. These cases are especially important to identify because they can easily develop into iatrogenic keratoconus post refractive surgery.¹

Advanced corneal imaging systems are detecting earlier stages of genetically caused ectasias and also minimizing iatrogenic causes of ectasias from LASIK and other corneal surgery procedures.²

Ectasias can also present from contact lens-induced corneal warpage and, while distortion is sometimes permanent, usually these are temporary and return to normal once the CLs are removed. Vision correction for ectasias has been improving through advanced gas permeable/scleral CLs and medically through collagen crosslinking and lamellar surgeries. Regardless of the cause, treatments to improve the vision of ectasias are similar.

Although treatments are numerous, those within optometry’s purview are mostly limited to corrective lenses; still, we are called upon to diagnose and comanage these patients throughout the course of the disease, which can span decades.

For these reasons and more, all optometrists should be well versed in the causes and consequences of corneal ectasia. This article will review current technology for detection, CL corrections for visual symptoms and the range of medical and surgical treatments available.

Causes of Ectasias

Ectasias are either genetically or iatrogenically caused. The most prevalent and most understood genetically caused ectasia is keratoconus. Affecting approximately 50 to 230 per 100,000, keratoconus is a non-inflammatory corneal disease with clinical signs of inferior corneal progressive stromal thinning, scissor reflex upon retinoscopy, corneal protrusion and irregular astigmatism.³-⁴ Histopathologically, there is iron deposition in the epithelial basal layer and breaks in Bowman’s layers.⁵ Though typically they present bilaterally asymmetrical, reports...
of unilateral keratoconus cases exist.\textsuperscript{7} The second eye of unilateral keratoconus is still considered a suspect for developing keratoconus and is hence contraindicated for LASIK.

Iatrogenic ectasias occur primarily through post-corneal refractive surgery. They are rare, but debilitating when they occur. Studies have reported incidence rates of 0.04\% to 0.66\%, with higher complications post-LASIK as compared to photorefractive keratectomy (PRK).\textsuperscript{1,5-10} Iatrogenic ectasias are generally found in refractive surgery patients that are younger, have high myopia, have thinner corneas or have had multiple refractive surgery enhancements.\textsuperscript{1,11} Thicker flaps, which compromise residual stromal thickness, excessive tissue removal from high refractive errors and/or undiagnosed forme-fruste keratoconus during LASIK, or both, ultimately causes thinner corneas. The thinned corneal biomechanical strength wanes while the intraocular pressure causes forward corneal bowing, resulting in ectasia.\textsuperscript{12}

One study found that all patients who develop iatrogenic ectasia post LASIK had at least one predictable risk factor.\textsuperscript{10} Studies have reported post-LASIK ectasia development from three to 57 months after the surgical procedure.\textsuperscript{10,13}

### Detection of Ectasia

Whereas moderate and severe ectasias are easily diagnosed with biomicroscopy, retinoscopy and traditional placido-disc-based corneal topographies linked to programmed indices and algorithms specifically designed for keratoconus detection and diagnosis, subclinical keratoconus is more difficult to detect.\textsuperscript{14-18} The popularity of LASIK and other forms of corneal refractive surgery in the 1990s and the risk of iatrogenic ectasia spurred the development of corneal tomography, which has revolutionized the detection of subclinical keratoconus.

Corneal tomography produces three-dimensional images of the cornea from two-dimensional cross sections. The modality includes slit scanning, Scheimpflug imaging, OCT and very high frequency (VHF) ultrasound imaging. Each of these devices, when used independently, gathers different information about the cornea. They each evaluate the anterior and posterior corneal elevations and their pachymetric distribution, which is important for detecting any ectasia or predisposition to ectasia. When the information from different devices is used together, the accuracy of ectasia detection is even greater.

- **Slit scanning** involves slits projected on the cornea. The anterior and posterior edges of the slits are analyzed and presented as three-dimensional topographic maps. Research shows this is more sensitive than earlier devices for detection of keratoconus since it takes images of the entire cornea.\textsuperscript{19,21} The latest slit scanning systems, including the Orbscan II, actually use both slit scanning and placido technology.

- **The rotating Scheimpflug imaging** devices such as the Pentacam (Oculus) measures the anterior and posterior corneal surfaces, as well as corneal volume and spatial profiles from three-dimensional models. Researchers found that keratoconus has thinner corneas with less corneal volume.\textsuperscript{22}

- **Optical coherence tomography** creates an optical cross sectional scan of the specific layers of the cornea. This could be important for

### Table 1. Treatment Options for Keratectasia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ectasia Severity</th>
<th>Early</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Advanced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CL Treatments</td>
<td>Glasses</td>
<td>Soft CLs</td>
<td>Corneal GPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical Treatments</td>
<td>CXL</td>
<td>Intracorneal rings</td>
<td>CXL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pentacam imaging of a 38-year-old male with post-LASIK ectasia. The three images detail changes post epi-on crosslinking treatment.
detecting epithelial thickness irregularities, which may be early indicators of early keratoconus.23

- VHF ultrasound measures the thickness of individual corneal layers by a series of scans in different meridians in an arc that matches the curvature of the cornea. Researchers used this to measure the mean thickness of LASIK flaps and found that those with a mean thickness of 163.6µm had greater risks of ectasia, especially in patients with greater ablation depth.24

Treatment of Ectasias
The first-line treatment for visual disturbance due to corneal ectasia is corrective lenses, but recent surgical advances offer the promise of more permanent solutions.

- Contact lenses. In early stages of ectasia, spectacles, soft CLs and even custom aberration-correcting soft CLs may be adequate to correct for vision changes. But as the ectasia progresses, the optically smooth surface from a rigid gas permeable (GP) lens is necessary to ameliorate the irregular corneal surface of the ectatic eye to provide clearer vision. Corneal GPs, and now more popularized scleral lenses, are the mainstay visual treatments for these eyes.25 GPs comprise 65% of contact lens correction for keratoconus and has delayed the need for surgery in approximately 80% to 98.9% of all fittings.2,3,26,27

Corneal GPs range in size from 8mm to 10mm in diameter and are ideal for small central cones or mild keratoconic eyes.28 With increased ectasia severity, larger diameters improve lens centration. Intralimbal lenses are slightly larger at 10.5mm to 12mm in diameter. Though corneal GPs provide crisper vision than soft lenses, dropout occurs from discomfort. Piggyback CLs can improve comfort, but can be inconvenient for patients because of their need to clean and care for both soft and GP contact lenses. Hybrid CLs may also improve the comfort; however, their variable clinical performance, high giant papillary conjunctivitis rates and breakage at the GP and soft lens junction may limit their use.29,30 The very recent surge of scleral lens options and popularity has provided a more comfortable option for ectatic eyes.

Scleral lenses are generally reserved for moderate to advanced stages of ectasia as well as those who have failed in comfort from traditional GPs. Whereas corneosclerals (12.9mm to 14.9mm) rest on both the cornea and sclera, miniscerals (15.0mm to 18.0mm) and full scleral lenses (18.1mm to 24.0mm) rest entirely on the conjunctiva and vault over the cornea.20,31 Larger lenses are more comfortable especially for those with focally steep cones or those with sensitive corneal epithelium since vaulted lenses reduce friction with the cornea.28,32 Scleral lenses should be fit with the highest Dk material possible and without excessive corneal clearance, to minimize hypoxia from the combination lens and tear film thickness.33

- Medical/surgical treatment. For ectatic patients who are intolerant of CLs, medical and surgical treatments are available. Traditional treatments have been limited to contact lenses and full-thickness penetrating keratoplasty (PKP). Though graft survival rates usually extend up to 20 years and sometimes beyond, reasons to defer doing PKPs for as long as possible include the generally young age of keratoconic patients (and thus the challenge of achieving life-long graft survival), graft rejection and failure, surgical complications and the risks of developing secondary cataracts and glaucoma from long-term steroid use. Visual rehabilitation after PKP is also difficult.

- Intrastromal corneal ring segment implantation. For mild to moderate ectasias with little to no corneal scarring, intrastromal corneal ring (Intacs) use is an option. It involves the insertion of one or two polymethylmethacrylate segments into the corneal stroma to flatten the irregular anterior corneal shape and hence improve uncorrected visual acuity.34 Segments of varying thickness can be implanted; the thicker the segment, the more significant the flattening effect. They are generally inserted on the inferior cornea to flatten the steep

Funding Approval Pending for US Launch of Crosslinking for Keratoconus
Though its advisory panel recommended approval, the FDA recently requested additional information before giving the go-ahead to Avedro’s drug/device combination of Photrexa (riboflavin) and KXL System (UVA light) for the treatment of progressive keratoconus and corneal ectasia following refractive surgery. The company is working to address the questions and move forward with FDA approval.

A keratoconic patient undergoing a collagen crosslinking procedure.
areas of inferiorly located cones. Intrastromal corneal rings do not stop the progression of keratoconus and the residual refractive errors are often more challenging to treat with corneal GP contact lenses due to the sharp topography differences at the junction of the intrastromal corneal ring segment.\textsuperscript{33} Complications many include patient dissatisfaction with visual outcomes, discomfort and ring segment extrusion.\textsuperscript{36}

- **Collagen crosslinking (CXL).** Whereas the current treatments for ectasias revolve around visual rehabilitation, CXL is a promising treatment to actually delay and potentially halt the progression of many ectasias, including keratoconus, pellucid marginal degeneration and post-LASIK ectasias.\textsuperscript{37-40} It is a procedure that increases corneal rigidity and biomechanical stability by forming new chemical bonds between the collagen strands of the corneal stroma. This is a procedure that would most benefit early to moderate ectasias.

CXL involves the application of riboflavin on epithelialized or de-epithelialized central corneas, depending upon protocol treatment. The cornea is then exposed to ultraviolet A light, which activates the riboflavin and creates new crosslinks within the collagen and intrastromal matrix of the stroma.\textsuperscript{40} CXL on de-epithelialized corneas is more effective than on epithelized corneas, but there is a longer recovery time, more patient discomfort and increased risk of infections.\textsuperscript{37,41}

Accelerated CXL uses a higher intensity UV light for shorter periods of time. Studies are evaluating the effectiveness and safety profile of accelerated vs. non-accelerated CXL. Evidence may show that the accelerated CXL is as effective and

### Clinical Pearls
- Consider using multiple corneal imaging technologies along with clinical judgment to best identify early ectasias.
- For early and moderate ectasias, including the “normal” eye of a keratoconic patient, CXL is a viable option to preserve and ideally prevent progression.
- For moderate to advanced ectasias, or patients who are intolerant of GP lenses, consider a scleral lens. Fit a high Dk material without excessive corneal clearance to prevent hypoxia.
- Expect to see more CXL procedures performed in tandem with other corneal surgeries.
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safe as conventional CXL, but more research is needed. Though rare, risks of complications post CXL include corneal haze, keratitis, endothelial cell loss and CXL ineffectiveness. Long-term studies are limited, but show promise in achieving ectasia stability in many patients. Researchers found the standard CXL method improved visual acuities and stabilized the progression of post-LASIK induced ectasias over 42 months. In a retrospective case series, another study found that accelerated CXL was able to halt the progression of keratoconus, in addition to improving their patients’ visual acuities, keratometry values and corneal aberrations after 24 months. Overall, CXL is a novel treatment to stabilize the progression of both keratoconus and post-LASIK ectasia.

Combining other interventions with CXL offers a new frontier in treatment that addresses both structural and refractive needs. While intrastromal corneal rings improve the visual acuity, CXL performed after intrastromal corneal ring implantation stabilizes the cornea to slow down future progression. One study showed the addition of the corneal crosslinking after intrastromal corneal ring insertion improved the keratoconic outcomes more than corneal crosslinking alone. But caution is warranted since keratoconus can still progress years after corneal crosslinking.

- **Lamellar keratoplasty.** When the above treatments fail, severe ectasias may require surgical intervention. Lamellar procedures such as deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty (DALK) and crescentic lamellar keratoplasty are options. DALK involves removing the corneal stroma down to Descemet’s membrane and replacing it with donor cornea with or without Descemet’s membrane. Compared to PKP, DALK offers a lower risk of graft rejection, early visual rehabilitation, better wound strength and limited endothelial cell loss. It is a complicated procedure, but one with the same rate of graft survival and final visual acuity outcomes as PKP.

For ectasias that involve the far periphery of the cornea, such as in pellucid marginal degeneration, large-diameter PKPs are discouraged as their proximity to the limbus and its blood vessels make grafts more prone to rejections. Semilunar, crescentic and annular lamellar keratoplasty use donor grafts that spare the central cornea. The central vision is minimally affected even if the graft is rejected and becomes opaque. These grafts decrease the overall corneal astigmatism, but the results can be short-lived because thinning and ectasia can recur.

Advancements in ectasia detection, prevention and treatment are constantly emerging. Improved technologies, especially in the form of corneal tomography, can now identify genetically caused ectasias at early stages. The combination of the different tomography systems provides complementary views of...
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the cornea to hopefully provide a better understanding of the predictors of iatrogenic ectasia and ultimately eliminate that as well. Ectasia patients are fortunate to have growing visual rehabilitation treatment options in the advancing areas of contact lenses, collagen crosslinking, intrastromal corneal ring implantation and lamellar surgeries. Future combination treatments with CXL will hopefully one day eliminate the need for corneal transplantation.

Dr. Yeung is a diplomate in the Cornea, Contact Lenses, and Refractive Technologies section of the American Academy of Optometry. She is the senior optometrist at UCLA Arthur Ashe Student Health and Wellness and a clinical assistant professor of Western University of Health Sciences College of Optometry.

Sally Wu is a pre-optometry student getting a BA in psychology at UCLA, class of 2015.

A New Organization Focused on Keratoconus

The International Keratoconus Academy of Eye Care Professionals (IKA) was recently established to promote ongoing education and scientific development in the area of keratoconus and other forms of corneal ectasia. It will also promote the awareness and understanding of treatment strategies.

IKA will provide an array of educational initiatives, including live events, web-based education, social media activities and publications in the professional literature. It will cooperate with other organizations—such as the National Keratoconus Foundation—to advance knowledge, awareness and quality of care.

The founding executive board includes Barry Eid, OD, Andrew Morgenstern, OD, Timothy McMahon, OD, Joseph Barr, OD, William Tullo, OD, Clark Chang, OD, Eric Donnenfeld, MD, and Yaron Rabinowitz, MD. Professionals interested in membership in IKA should email the group: info@keratoconusacademy.com.
Doc, I’m afraid to open my eyes in the morning.” It’s a complaint that’s both shocking and severe in nature. But, it’s one that we’ve heard several times in our clinical experience.

These were extremely frustrated patients who had a long history of recurrent corneal erosions. They had already tried medical therapy, including doxycycline, Muro 128 (Bausch + Lomb), FreshKote (Focus Labs), topical steroids and even bandage contact lenses—all without success.

In these severe cases, is there another surgical option that could benefit such patients? How and when do you perform these more aggressive procedures?

In this article—the fourth in a six-part, print-and-video instructional series—we’ll show you how we do it.

DBD and ASP for Tough Cases

Recurrent corneal erosion (RCE) not only can be difficult to diagnose—due to its usual presentation of few clinical signs yet debilitating and recurrent symptoms—but difficult to treat, especially when it’s recalcitrant RCE.

Previous articles have discussed numerous conservative treatment modalities that are very effective in managing most cases of RCE, including hypertonic ointment, bandage soft contact lenses, oral doxycycline, topical steroids and anterior stromal puncture (ASP). (See “Simple ASP at the Slit Lamp,” facing page.)

However, many of the cases seen in our surgical referral center are patients with more stubborn RCE who have failed combinations of these nonsurgical therapeutic regimens. Difficult and recalcitrant cases seem to be a result of traumatic RCE coupled with a history of EBMD. (In our clinical experience of treating recalcitrant cases that have failed conservative
therapy, many seem to have concurrent EBMD that may involve the entire cornea, which likely contributes to the poorer adhesion of the epithelium in the area of previous trauma.) So, the therapeutic goal is to not only treat the current area of erosion, but also to help prevent future complications/erosions in other areas due to the underlying EBMD.

If the patient with EMBD has failed aggressive topical and oral therapy for RCE, consider surgical treatment with or without ASP. Surgical treatments include diamond burr debridement (DBD) and phototherapeutic keratectomy (PTK).

- **DBD.** Studies have shown that removal of the affected area of epithelium combined with polishing Bowman’s layer using a diamond burr decreases the recurrence rate (6%) when compared with debridement alone (18% recurrence).²

- **PTK.** This is also an excellent option to smooth the corneal surface after epithelial debridement; however, the cost to the patient is usually higher because reimbursement for use of the laser is rarely covered under insurance. Furthermore, research has shown that PTK has a slightly higher incidence of postsurgical haze and recurrence when compared with DBD.³

Accordingly, here’s a step-by-step method for DBD with ASP.

1. **Discuss the Procedure**

In most cases, these patients have reached the point where they’re ready for a treatment that is more aggressive because conservative therapy has failed and they’re miserable.

Discuss the risks and benefits of the procedure, and have the patient sign a consent form. (The risks include mild scarring of the cornea in the treated area, possible  

---

**Simple ASP at the Slit Lamp**

If a patient has simple recurrent erosion from previous trauma (such as a fingernail to the cornea) and no underlying sign of epithelial basement membrane dystrophy (EBMD), anterior stromal puncture at the slit lamp can provide adequate adherence of the epithelium to the stroma. (This is assuming all other complicating factors of dry eye disease and posterior blepharitis are currently being treated and controlled as well.)

The idea behind ASP is that the punctures—no more than 250µm of penetration into the corneal surface—facilitate a slight inflammatory event that leads to minor scarring and essentially serves as a “spot weld” to better anchor the epithelium to the stroma.

The procedure is best performed using an anterior stromal puncture needle rather than a bent syringe. An ASP needle comes pre-packaged and pre-bent at the tip to prevent the point from going too deep into the stroma, penetrating no more than 0.1mm. This takes the guesswork out of bending your own needle, which you could bend too much or too little.

Here’s how ASP is performed:

- Apply one drop topical anesthetic (proparacaine 0.5%).
- Apply one drop of topical fluoroquinolone each minute for three minutes.
- Test the surrounding area for poor adhesion with a Weck-Cel sponge to determine the area of treatment. Areas affected by EBMD or prior trauma—in which the epithelium is loosely adherent to the underlying basement membrane and stroma—will feel loose and will more easily separate from healthy cornea with light pressure and force from the Weck-Cel.
- Using the ASP needle, apply punctures 0.25mm to 0.5mm apart to the area of loose epithelium, as well as a 0.5mm ring into the area of adherent epithelium. The punctures should be deep enough to penetrate into the anterior stroma. Scarring in the visual axis has the potential to reduce best-corrected visual acuity, so stay clear of the central visual axis when performing anterior stromal puncture.
- Next, apply a bandage soft contact lens for comfort and to facilitate healing. Patients may experience mild to moderate pain in the first one to two days after the procedure, even with the bandage lens in place, due to the dozens of small punctures from the ASP procedure. Proper education usually helps alleviate the patient’s immediate concerns, and most patients feel much better one or two days later. ASP may not cure the underlying problem, so patients may still need to continue topical therapy to help control symptoms. Yet, it should significantly reduce the episodes of recurrent erosion and severe pain upon waking.

ASP may not cure the problem, so patients may still need to continue topical therapy to help control symptoms. Yet, it should significantly reduce the episodes of recurrent erosion and severe pain upon waking.
decreased vision if the affected area is within the visual axis, infection, pain and discomfort during healing, and recurrence—all of which are complications of not treating. Benefits include reduction or elimination of recurrence of erosions, and possibly decreasing the burden of current topical/oral treatment.)

Explain that the first couple of nights after the procedure may be more uncomfortable than the episodes of erosion, and that the patient may need oral narcotics for a couple of days. Visual ability will be significantly reduced for a period of a week or more depending on rate of healing area and size of treatment, and whether a bandage soft contact lens or an amniotic membrane graft (e.g., Prokera, Bio-Tissue) will be used. Tell patients they can expect their vision to return to pre-surgical levels within two to three weeks.

2. Prep the Patient

Having a surgical microscope and surgical chair makes the procedure much easier because the stability of the eye is much greater and sterility is easier to maintain during the procedure. However, it can certainly be performed at the slit lamp.

Stock your surgical tray for the procedure beforehand: Weck-Cels; golf club spud; lid speculum; ASP needle; and Alger brush with diamond burr tip.

Treat this as a sterile surgery—clean the entire ocular adnexa with a swab of Betadine 5% (povidone-iodine sterile ophthalmic prep solution, Alcon), and let the patient sit for three minutes. During this time, instill a topical fluoroquinolone and proparacaine—one drop of each every minute for three minutes.

Cover the non-sterile areas of the patient’s forehead, nose and cheek with a sterile drape to allow you to rest your hands during the procedure. Insert a lid speculum to keep the eyelids and lashes away from the surgical field. Also, wear gloves and a mask to maintain sterility.

3. Debride the Epithelium

To test for the areas of debridement, use a Weck-Cel sponge to dislodge and remove the areas of loose epithelium. Mild pressure will cause the affected epithelium to slide easily and freely from the corneal surface (and usually in a much larger area than the area of erosion).

Also, you may need a spud to remove small islands of adherent tissue or to dissect the edge of the affected epithelium in order to leave 1mm of epithelium adhered to the limbus. Removal of the epithelium up to or beyond the limbus can slow the re-epithelialization.
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As surgical procedures become more common in optometry practices, medical coding and record compliance can become areas of concern and exposure. From a medical record standpoint, major and minor surgical procedures require a separate narrative, often referred to as a surgical report. This report describes several key items: the surgical procedure; preparation of the surgical field; instruments and approach used by the surgeon; and a statement of patient status at the end of the procedure.

Coding a surgical procedure first involves recognizing whether it is designated as minor (a global period of 0 or 10 days) or major (a global period of 90 days). Perhaps the most common mistake ODs make is to bill for an office visit on the same day as a minor surgical procedure. By definition, a minor surgical procedure already includes an office visit, so it should not be billed in conjunction with an office visit on the same date, unless it had nothing to do with the decision to perform the minor surgery.

In managing a patient with recurrent corneal erosion (RCE), both types of procedures are performed: major surgery (65600—multiple punctures of anterior cornea, 90 days), as well as minor surgery (65435—removal of corneal epithelium with or without chemocauterization, 0 days; and 65778—placement of amniotic membrane on the ocular surface; without sutures, 10 days). This distinction is important to know because of the medical coding convention that must be followed for each type of procedure.

The National Correct Coding Initiative (NCCI) Policy Manual for Medicare Services clearly defines this. For a major surgical procedure, you can separately report the office visit performed on the same date of service as the procedure using modifier -57. A minor procedure, though, cannot be reported separately from the office visit. The same holds true for a visit with a new patient—the fact that the patient is “new” to the provider doesn’t justify reporting an office visit on the same date of service as a minor procedure.

However, a significant and separately identifiable E&M service unrelated to the minor surgical procedure is separately reportable with modifier -25. The E&M service and minor surgical procedure do not require different diagnoses.

The problem arises when ODs improperly use these modifiers to get reimbursed for the office visit. The Office of Inspector General has taken a particular interest in the improper and fraudulent use of modifier -25.

Also consider the NCCI rules when performing multiple procedures on the same day. All of these procedures are allowed on the same day without conflict, with the exception of 92071 (fitting of a contact lens for ocular surface disease) and performing debridement (65435) on the same day as placement of the amniotic membrane (65778).

Providing these surgical services at the slit lamp highlights just how far optometry has come in providing state-of-the-art care. But with increased privileges comes increased responsibility for understanding the rules and regulations for medical coding and medical record compliance of these surgical procedures.

Send questions and comments to ROcodingconnection@gmail.com.


### Coding for RCE and ASP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Situation</th>
<th>Codes Performed</th>
<th>Codes Allowed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office visit</td>
<td>992XX–57</td>
<td>992XX–57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior stromal puncture</td>
<td>65600–RT/LT</td>
<td>65600–RT/LT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitting of a bandage contact lens</td>
<td>92071</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office visit</td>
<td>992XX–57</td>
<td>992XX–57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior stromal puncture</td>
<td>65600–RT/LT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debridement of the cornea</td>
<td>65435–51–RT/LT</td>
<td>65600–51–RT/LT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitting of a bandage contact lens</td>
<td>92071–RT/LT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placement of amniotic membrane</td>
<td>65778–RT/LT</td>
<td>65778–RT/LT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Providing these surgical services at the slit lamp highlights just how far optometry has come in providing state-of-the-art care. But with increased privileges comes increased responsibility for understanding the rules and regulations for medical coding and medical record compliance of these surgical procedures.
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**Slit Lamp Essentials**

**Two Other Indications for DBD with ASP: Preoperative Corneal Irregularity and Salzmann’s Nodular Degeneration**

Our referral center performs a large number of cataract surgeries and occasionally patients’ EBMD and/or Salzmann’s is significant enough that preoperative corneal measurements are too distorted to allow for proper IOL selection, especially in patients who elect toric or premium IOLs.

If you notice Salzmann’s nodules, use the spud to lift the leading edge. While grabbing and lifting the leading edge of the nodule with small-toothed forceps, use the spud to dissect the nodule from the corneal stroma back to the limbus. The nodule should “peel” off with the consistency of removing Velcro.

In cases where concurrent EBMD is present, consider performing DBD with or without ASP to allow a better adhesion of the epithelium after debridement. Once the eye is healed, better preoperative keratometry and regular topography are achievable. In some cases, the procedure actually improves the patient’s vision to a point that cataract surgery isn’t necessary.

Here, forceps were needed to isolate the nodule, allowing use of the spud to dissect the nodule from corneal stroma.

**4. DBD and Polishing**

Next, use an Alger brush with a diamond burr tip to gently smooth the exposed corneal surface and remove the uneven basement membrane. Be sure to hold the handle of the Alger brush so that the burr always spins toward the center of the cornea. This also allows you to smooth the edges of the remaining limbal epithelium. Only mild pressure, using smooth broad strokes, needs to be applied.

Remove any residual epithelial cells with a moistened Weck-Cel sponge. Instill a drop of topical antibiotic and a drop of NSAID on the exposed surface.

Use the diamond burr (with rotation toward the center of the cornea) to smooth the exposed cornea. Take care to preserve the limbal cells. Roll the edge of the epithelium toward the pupil, but don’t overdo the pressure or you risk scarring in the visual axis.
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5. Add ASP
Although not conventional, performing ASP at this stage can maximize adherence of the epithelium to the stroma in severe cases of RCE, in our experience.

So, once you’ve completely removed the loose epithelium and any nodules, use the ASP needle to treat the entire exposed surface. Make a grid of punctures about 1mm apart, but stay clear of the central visual axis. Apply enough pressure to deform the corneal surface in order to penetrate the anterior stroma.

6. Place the BSCL or AMG
At this point, place either a bandage soft contact lens or amniotic membrane graft on the surface to allow for re-epithelialization and comfort during the healing process.

We prefer to use a Prokera in our clinic if insurance allows—this provides anti-inflammatory coverage during this healing process without using a topical steroid, which can slow re-epithelialization. We’ve also noted that the Prokera seems to inhibit corneal postoperative haze formation better than use of a BSCL.

However, in instances when an amniotic membrane cannot be used due to cost or insurance coverage, a bandage contact lens is appropriate.

7. Post-op Regimen
Prescribe a topical fluoroquinolone TID or QID to prevent infection during healing, and an NSAID QD or BID to aid patient comfort until the defect is healed. If the patient received a bandage soft contact lens, add a topical steroid TID to QID to the regimen as well. Also, Norco (hydrocodone/acetaminophen 5mg/32.5mg or 10mg/32.5mg, Watso Pharmaceuticals) will help the patient through the first couple of nights of discomfort.

Schedule the patient to return on day one to check for amniotic ring or BSCL position and healing, and then again every one to two days until complete re-epithelialization occurs. Use of sodium fluorescein stain will diffuse through the amniotic membrane graft and allow you to monitor the healing of the defect.

Once the epithelium has healed completely, remove the Prokera ring or BSCL and discontinue the antibiotics and NSAID. If the central visual axis was treated with diamond burr polishing, then continue the steroid (or add one if an amniotic membrane was used) for an additional two weeks to help reduce formation of central corneal haze. (Corneal haze is less significant when using an amniotic membrane, in our experience.)

With a small investment in time and surgical equipment, you can employ these techniques to expand the corneal treatment options for patients with RCE, EBMD and Salzmann’s degeneration. Your patient who was afraid to open her eyes in the morning will truly have an “eye opening” experience from all that you can do for them, and you’ll gain a patient for life.

Dr. Ellen is the clinical director of BVA Advanced Eye Care in Tulsa, Okla., where he specializes in the diagnosis and treatment of ocular disease.

Dr. Lighthizer is the assistant dean for clinical care services, director of continuing education, and chief of both the specialty care clinic and the electrodiagnostics clinic at the Oklahoma College of Optometry.
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The ruddy cheeks and prominent facial vessels that characterize rosacea are as obvious as they are distinctive. From W.C. Fields to Prince William to Bill Clinton, these patients are easy to identify. Less obvious, though, are the ocular signs—especially those that signal corneal involvement.

Rosacea is a relatively common disorder, affecting up to 10% of the adult population; it’s most frequently seen in those with fair skin and tends to manifest around facial folds.1-4 Middle-aged adults are most commonly affected, though children can also have this disorder.3,5 As many as 58% to 72% of rosacea patients have ocular involvement, and 45% to 85% have ocular complaints.1,3,4 The most frequently reported ocular signs are blepharitis and meibomian gland dysfunction, followed by conjunctival hyperemia—particularly interpalpebrally—eyelid margin telangiectasias, punctate epithelial keratopathy, corneal vascularization and infiltrates with corneal scarring and opacity.1,3,5 Ocular manifestations of rosacea may precede dermatologic signs in 20% of patients.1,3,5,6,7

While our thoughts of ocular rosacea often end with the eyelids, corneal disease is often concomitant. It’s rare that ocular rosacea leads to marked or blinding corneal damage, but corneal involvement has been noted in up to 33% of patients with rosacea, and severe sequelae are possible.1,5 Be sure to look critically at the cornea and conjunctiva in these patients.

This article provides an overview of rosacea and how it affects the ocular surface.

**An Uncertain Pathogenesis**

Researchers have proposed many theories regarding the pathogenesis of rosacea, though none have been confirmed. The prevailing wisdom points to a mix of vascular and immunologic dysfunction.3,5 An increase in bacterial eyelid flora appears to have a strong contributory effect on ocular manifestations.

Bacteria produce several lipolytic exoenzymes—including cholesteryl esterase, triglyceride lipase and...
fatty wax esterase—that act on the lipid-based meibum by hydrolyzing its sterol esters and wax, thus increasing glycerides and free fatty acids. The latter are irritating and toxic to the ocular surface and adnexa. This process also alters the meibum’s solubility, causing it to thicken. Inadequate and solidified meibum destabilizes the lipid layer of the tear film, allowing the aqueous to evaporate more quickly, increasing tear osmolarity and stimulating the inflammatory cascade. Chronic inflammation causes atrophy of the meibomian glands themselves, decreasing meibum production. Symptoms associated with this process include burning or stinging, conjunctival and eyelid margin redness, foreign body sensation and often watery eyes from reflexive aqueous production.

Ocular rosacea patients also characteristically have an increased eyelid margin density of Demodex. An immune response to Demodex infestation on eyelash follicles and within meibomian glands also appears to contribute to chronic eyelid and meibomian gland inflammation and dysfunction. Demodex mites carry bacteria that produce proteins which stimulate an increased expression of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) activity.

Ocular rosacea is readily associated with blepharitis, eyelid margin telangiectasias, meibomian gland dysfunction and symptoms associated with dryness. Along with eyelid disease, researchers have also reported associations with conjunctivitis, chalazia, episceritis, iritis, scleritis and scleral perforation.

**Corneal Complications**

Up to 40% of patients with ocular rosacea may also display punctate epithelial erosions. This alone can cause a notable decline in vision and patient comfort. Peripheral stromal infiltrates are commonly seen with ocular rosacea; recurrent corneal erosions, ulcers, edema, stromal keratitis, opacification or scarring, neovascularization, pannus or phlyctenules may also occur.

Limbal stem cell deficiency due to chronic inflammation may occur, resulting in inadequate corneal epithelial regeneration. Inflammation causes corneal epithelial cells to produce fibroblast growth factors and pro-angiogenic factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). In response, conjunctival endothelial cells produce more MMPs, and the limbal epithelial cells produce more MMPs, and the limbal epithelial cells, which are capable of producing neovascularization and opacification, migrate beyond their normal limbal location onto the cornea. Additional immunologic cells are recruited, and this all contributes to triggering angiogenesis and corneal neovascularization.

Ocular rosacea patients can have significantly increased tear concentrations of interleukin-1α (IL-1α), a pro-inflammatory cytokine, and matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP-9), a collagen matrix-degrading enzyme secreted by devitalized epithelial cells. Tear volume drops as IL-1α increases, and dry eye symptoms can worsen in the presence of elevated MMP-9 levels. InflammaDry (RPS), an in-office test that samples tears for elevated levels of MMP-9, could be a good confirmatory tool to help with early diagnosis and treatment. MMP-9 also overpowers inhibitory metalloproteinase proteins found naturally in the eye, leading to MMP-9’s degrading activity on the cornea, its epithelial basement membrane and stromal extracellular matrix, resulting in stromal thinning.

In ocular rosacea, the inferior and intrapalpebral regions of the cornea are more susceptible to damage, particularly the 3- and 9-o’clock positions, due to the position of the tear meniscus inferiorly at rest and during a blink. Progressive corneal thinning and loss of stromal tissue can precipitate the formation of
descemetocele; this herniation of Descemet’s membrane increases the risk of perforation.2,5 Rare corneal presentations such as dendritic keratopathy or features similar to keratoconus have been reported due to chronic ocular rosacea.2,14

Managing Ocular Rosacea
Your role in helping patients live with this chronic disease is aimed at reducing pro-inflammatory contributors to the disease, controlling symptoms and reducing exacerbations. Management of ocular surface symptoms will include topical therapy, beginning with liberal lubrication with artificial tears. Inadequate lubrication can lead to abnormal corneal epithelial sloughing and corneal damage. Furthermore, the avascular cornea needs many components of the tears for normal wound healing and reduced tear quality and quantity adds further insult.15 Lipid-based artificial tears make sense given the meibomian gland involvement.

More severe manifestations can be treated with autologous serum drops, which are rich in vitamin A, epidermal growth factor and fibronectin, which promote healthy development, proliferation, migration and differentiation of conjunctival and corneal epithelium; the serum contains bacteriostatic factors including lysozymes, complement and IgG.16,17 They are derived by centrifuging the patient’s whole blood (making them naturally non-allergenic) after it clots, reaping the plasma serum, filtering it and compounding it with preservative-free saline into the desired concentration; it’s packaged into single-use vials to be used liberally.18,19

Routine eyelid hygiene, massage and warm compresses are a mainstay. Devices for the expression of meibum are also available, which warm and massage the meibomian glands, improving meibum fluidity and flow onto the tear film.5 Thorough reviews of treatment for blepharitis, meibomian gland dysfunction and Demodex infestations are readily available elsewhere in the literature. Note that consistency with these lid considerations and liberal lubrication are founda-

The Many Faces of Rosacea
Rosacea is a chronic inflammatory dermatologic condition that affects the central face: most commonly the cheeks, forehead, chin and nose.1,2 Other than ocular rosacea, three subtypes and one variant exist2,3:

Erythematotelangiectatic rosacea involves persistent flushing of the mid-face, which can occur with or without visible telangiectatic vessels. The central facial skin may be scaly or rough, mildly edematous and can often burn or sting.2 It is four times more common than the next most prevalent subtype, papulopustular.3 Sun exposure appears to significantly correlate with both its precipitation and severity.4 Papulopustular presents with papules or pustules or both overlying erythematous skin on the central face or around the nose, eyes or mouth. The papules and pustules may wax and wane, resembling acne vulgaris. It often occurs concomitantly with the erythematotelangiectatic presentation.2,3

Phymatous acne rosacea involves enlargement and thickening of the skin; this is most frequently seen as rhinophyma, but can also affect the cheeks, chin, forehead and even the ears. This subtype is generally uncommon, but is usually seen along with the other subtypes; it is more predominant in males.2,3

The variant granulomatous rosacea can be diagnosed even in the absence of other rosacea signs. It presents with non-inflammatory, hard cutaneous papules or nodules which can vary in color from yellow to brown to red; interestingly, they tend to appear over relatively normal, non-inflamed skin, but can lead to scarring.2,3

tional and cannot be overstated for preventing and managing both generalized rosacea and corneal manifestations of the disease.

Oral tetracyclines (doxycycline, tetracycline, minocycline) are the most effective treatment for dermatologic rosacea, and are also clinically useful in ocular rosacea.6 Interestingly, the antibiotic properties of the medication aren’t the main desired effect, but rather their anti-inflammatory actions. Tetracyclines, even at sub-antimicrobial levels, inhibit neutrophil chemotactic factors from bacterial flora, suppress pro-inflammatory cytokines and inhibit bacterial protein synthesis, therefore decreasing bacterial lipase and esterase.5-8,10,20 They also inhibit keratinization, and these effects are useful for the meibomian gland dysfunction associated with ocular rosacea.9 Tetracyclines also inhibit collagenase, an inflammatory product that degrades collagen, and suppress MMP-9 production.5,15 Thus, tetracyclines protect the cornea from the inflammation seen in ocular rosacea.6

Lastly, antiangiogenic effects, which can prevent corneal neovascularization, are achieved due to the inhibition of smooth-muscle cell migration and of vascular endothelial growth factor.7

In patients where tetracyclines are contraindicated (children, pregnant women, patients with hepatic dysfunction, or those who are allergic or intolerant), macrolides may be used; however, little clinical data supports their efficacy and tetracyclines are preferred.5

Oral antibiotics can be discontinued when symptomatic control is achieved or can be maintained chronically at low doses, with pulses as needed for exacerbations. Sub-antimicrobial dosages are typically for chronic use, and evidence of effective improvement in clinical signs and symptoms of the disease typically require around two months of treatment.5 Doxycycline is generally preferred over tetracycline due to its longer half-life, and therefore less frequent dosing.6 Doxycycline for ocular rosacea is typically dosed at 50mg to 100mg daily, though off-label and lower dosages—such as Oracea (Galderma Laboratories), a 40mg doxycycline tablet, 10mg of which is delayed-release, and Periostat (CollaGenex Pharmaceuticals), a 20mg formulation dosed twice daily—have been shown effective and are gaining popularity.5,6,7,21

Off-label use of topical ophthalmic antibiotics (such as erythromycin ointment) and antibiotic-steroid combinations are helpful in reducing eyelid bacterial proliferation, eyelid margin disease and meibomian gland dysfunction associated with ocular rosacea. Topical ophthalmic corticosteroids can be used in pulses for exacerbations. Chronic use is generally discouraged due to concern over steroid-induced corneal thinning or melting in an eye that is already at higher risk due to ocular rosacea-associated thinning and inflammation. Corticosteroids reduce the production of MMP-9 and other inflammatory products, so steroid use is a balance that needs to be monitored clinically; lower potency steroids are generally preferred when possible.5,13

Cyclosporine ophthalmic emulsion 0.05% (Restasis, Allergan) may be helpful as well. Similar to corticosteroids, cyclosporine reduces the production of inflammatory markers, including MMP-9.15,17 A clinical trial of topical cyclosporine in patients with ocular rosacea found that three months of use produced both symptomatic improvement and improvement in clinical signs, including tear production and degree of corneal staining.5,17 Other trials found a reduction in inflammatory markers after six months of cyclosporine use in patients with keratitis sicca and dry eye.13 This may be a very viable option for many ocular rosacea patients.

Typical eyelid findings with ocular rosacea; note eyelid margin keratinization and telangiectasias.
Don’t Forget Contact Lenses in Ocular Rosacea
By Amy Lagina, OD

Ocular rosacea has long been deemed a contraindication to contact lens wear. But we can often return these patients to safe contact lens wear by: (1) confirming the diagnosis, (2) working to treat the underlying disease, (3) addressing the eyelid and ocular surface factors and (4) carefully educating the patient on the dos and don’ts.

Mainstays of CL use in these patients are to protect the cornea and promote healing, or to alleviate symptoms. Specific goals can include vision correction, pain management, exposure and lid protection, and assistance with corneal reepithelialization.

Contact lenses used for therapeutic purposes can be either bandage soft contact lenses or scleral gas permeable lenses. Continuous use of the approved lenses may vary from one to four weeks, depending on the ocular surface condition.

Scleral lenses are common for the management of ocular surface diseases because they provide constant surface protection and enable more continuous lubrication. Scleral lenses are filled with a sterile saline prior to insertion and are fit to vault over the entire cornea, eliminating any interaction between the cornea and the lens.1 Lens materials with high oxygen transmissibility are recommended to prevent corneal hypoxia since scleral lenses are substantially thicker than standard gas permeable lenses.

If the ocular surface presentation is mild and is properly managed, patients can continue to wear regular lenses. Important characteristics to consider when prescribing are: water content, oxygen permeability, iconicity, lens modulus, replacement schedule and maintenance.

Other emerging treatments for severe corneal involvement include topical hormonal therapy such as dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) and medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA). DHEA, an androgen, supports meibomian gland function.18 MPA, a synthetic progestin, inhibits MMP expression and interleukin-induced corneal collagen degrada-
tion by corneal fibroblasts.22 Their use is off-label, and there is limited evidence to date as to their efficacy.

Corneal neovascularization can be addressed in several different ways. Oral tetracyclines, as mentioned above, can play a preventative role, and topical corticosteroids or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs can reduce the immunologic factors that trigger corneal neovascularization.12 Anti-VEGF agents bevacizumab and ranibizumab, which have made a profound difference in eye care, have been shown to reduce corneal neovascularization when applied via subconjunctival injection or with topical administration; however, long-term data is not available, route of delivery and dosing have not been standardized, and this is an off-label use of these drugs.12,23,24 Neovascularization could also be targeted with Nd:YAG or argon laser ablation, photodynamic therapy (PDT) or fine needle thermal cautery.12

Severe corneal involvement in ocular rosacea may require surgical intervention. Corneal perforations require urgent ophthalmologic treatment, ranging from cyanoacrylate tissue glue to partial- or full-thickness keratoplasty.6 Severe neovascularization could also be a cause for corneal transplantation or the use of a keratoprosthesis.12 Because of chronic inflammation with ocular rosacea, post-keratoplasty patients may have an increased risk of graft neovascularization.5 The use of therapeutic amniotic membranes, which inherently have anti-inflammatory properties and promote healing and corneal epithelialization, may also be helpful in severe cases of ocular rosacea with corneal involvement.6

While the eyelids are more often involved in ocular rosacea, don’t forget the cornea! It’s involvement is potentially sight-threatening, so appropriate management is key. ■

Dr. Weidmayer practices at the VA Ann Arbor Healthcare System in Ann Arbor, MI. She is also a clinical instructor for the University of Michigan Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences.


Ideal soft lenses have low water content, high oxygen permeability and a non-ionic surface. Soft HEMA (hydroxyethyl methacrylate) lenses will have decreased oxygen permeability as the lens dehydrates. Conversely, silicone hydrogel lenses will have increased oxygen permeability with lens dehydration. Silicone hydrogels also have high oxygen permeability, which significantly reduces the corneal hypoxia that can lead to contact lens discomfort.2 The iconicity is important to consider, as non-ionic lenses will have less protein accumulation and deposition. Lens modulus relates to the stiffness of the lens, with higher modulus lenses being stiffer, which generally creates more lens awareness. Most silicone hydrogel lenses have lower water content and a higher modulus, except Biofinity (comfilcon A 48%, CooperVision).

Daily, two-week and monthly replacement lenses are becoming increasingly available. Daily lenses are ideal because they can be worn on an as-needed basis and discarded after each use. Finally, vigilant lens hygiene is important to prevent additional exacerbations with ocular rosacea. Reminding patients to rub their lenses nightly, use fresh solution daily, or switching to a hydrogen peroxide solution can greatly improve lens disinfection, compliance and overall success.

Dr. Lagina practices at both the VA Ann Arbor Healthcare System and the Kellogg Eye Center in Ann Arbor, MI. She is a clinical instructor with the University of Michigan Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences.
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Corneal Harbingers of Systemic Disease

A routine slit lamp exam may reveal telltale findings of health concerns elsewhere in the body. Here are the most common to look for. By Aaron Bronner, OD

Though retinal vasculature disorders or iritis come to mind first when we think about systemic disease and the eye, the cornea—with its unique clarity and dual zones of immune function—provides a unique window into a wide variety of systemic diseases that otherwise would require laboratory or imaging-based evaluation. Systemic manifestations in the cornea range from the mundane, as with arcus senilis, to the unusual and life-threatening, as with multiple myeloma or vasculopathic disease. Reviewing many of these manifestations—ignoring the well-described or general associations, such as hypercholesterolemia with arcus and keratitis sicca associated with its myriad autoimmune diseases—will ensure you are prepared to see even the most unusual corneal issues stemming from systemic disease.

Diabetes Mellitus
One of the most important systemic diseases monitored by optometrists for its ocular effects is diabetes. We are all aware of the retinopathy and neovascularization of the iris and/or the angle with potential for subsequent glaucoma, as well as the severe extraocular effects of diabetes; namely, nephropathy and neuropathy. Given that the eye is such a prominent site for diabetic involvement and in light of the potential for the disease to manifest as neuropathy, it should come as no surprise that the cornea, which is the most densely innervated tissue in the body, may also be impacted by diabetes.

Diabetic keratopathy is thought to manifest as a result of changes in the density of corneal innervation, particularly within the sub-basal nerve plexus. Based on the results of at least one study group assessing Type I diabetes, these changes result in reduced corneal sensation, increasing corneal thickness and cellular polymorphism of both the corneal epithelium and endothelium. What's more, the level of diabetic keratopathy correlates well with the levels of retinopathy, systemic polyneuropathy and nephropathy.1,2
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While these changes can be assessed to some degree with formal esthesiometry and pachymetry, diabetic corneal neuropathy can only be objectively defined by confocal microscopy and so falls outside the optometrist’s ability to quantify. It is useful to know, however, that as the disease progresses, patients with diabetes may become more neurotrophic and develop thicker corneas. In the study referenced, patients without diabetes had an average corneal thickness of 526µm, patients with mild neuropathy had 558µm and patients with severe neuropathy had corneal thickness of 625µm.1

A frequently encountered but infrequently recognized ocular manifestation of diabetes is the presence of pleats in either the deep stroma or Descemet’s membrane of the non-ectatic eye—sometimes simply referred to as Descemet’s wrinkles and formally known as Waite-Beetham lines. They are more prominent, though arranged with less density and precision, than Vogt’s striae seen in keratoconus. They are also not as thick and irregular as pleats seen in edematous corneas.

Descemet’s wrinkles may be single or multiple, are longer than Vogt’s striae as seen in keratoconus, and tend to be somewhat wavy, though generally are arranged vertically or, less commonly, obliquely (unlike edematous pleats, which may be arranged in any direction).

These disturbances do not seem to create pathology of the cornea, nor do they appear to be the harbinger of worsening systemic disease. In fact, while Descemet’s wrinkles are more common in diabetes (27% to 38% prevalence), they occur in unaffected patients as well (8% to 10.5%).3,4 They become more common with age in both populations, and occur earlier in patients with diabetes.3 Their presence—particularly in a patient for whom you may already suspect diabetes—may be a warning sign that a patient has developed the condition.

Peripheral Ulcerative Keratitis

Isolated corneal effects of iritis, scleritis and episcleritis—often associated with autoimmune disease—are also possible, and given their significant relationship with ocular morbidity and overall mortality, it is important for doctors to be aware of them.

The cornea can be roughly thought of as having two distinct immune zones:

• The central cornea, characterized by its avascularity and lack of lymphatics, is relatively remote from the immune response and therefore sheltered to a certain degree, from destructive systemic infectious as well as autoimmune processes.

• The peripheral cornea, however, with its proximity to the vasculature, lymphatic channels and secondary lymph tissue of the conjunctiva, sclera and episclera, is in a prime location to manifest immune processes associated with systemic infectious and autoimmune disease.

Peripheral ulcerative keratitis (PUK) is a significant ocular manifestation of systemic vasculitides, connective tissue diseases and infectious disease of the juxtalimbal cornea.

While there are a number of other corneal manifestations of autoimmune disease more common than PUK, it remains a very important pathology to be aware of because of its potential for being the presenting manifestation of autoimmune disease; one
study showed it preceded systemic diagnosis in 28% of cases.\textsuperscript{5-8} Additionally, among some forms of the disease it is associated with a mortality rate as high as 50% over five years if systemic immunomodulatory agents are not implemented.\textsuperscript{5-8}

Though many autoimmune or systemic infectious diseases may result in PUK, the underlying mechanism of a perilimbal vasculitis seems to be relatively uniform. Characterized by a crescentic infiltrate with an overlying epithelial defect along the peripheral cornea, PUK lesions will progressively thin in the absence of appropriate treatment and may lead to perforation of the cornea.

Though it’s most typically associated with adjacent areas of scleritis, PUK may occur as a primary manifestation as well; when isolated to the cornea without any systemic etiology it is known as a Mooren’s ulcer, though some argue that Mooren’s ulcer is actually associated with hepatitis.\textsuperscript{5,9}

As topical corticosteroids are contraindicated due to their possible promotion of collagenase activity (thereby enhancing keratolysis), topical treatment is limited primarily to aggressive lubrication. Surgical and parasurical procedures such as application of a bandage contact lens, tissue glue, conjunctival resection and keratoplasty may temporize any risk of perforation or even act to stunt the ulcerative process, but ultimately systemic treatment is needed to abate the attack and reduce risk of recurrence.\textsuperscript{6} Though matrix metalloproteinases are implicated in the disease process, adjunctive use of tetracyclines is often ineffective.

In the treatment of autoimmune-associated PUK, it is imperative to recognize the condition’s role as a herald of worsening systemic disease; widespread vasculopathic disease can lead to the patient’s death. In addition to difficult treatment of their ocular disease, which may generally be managed with oral corticosteroids, these patients need to be placed on potent cytotoxic or immunomodulatory agents to control their underlying condition.\textsuperscript{7}

**Corneal Deposits**

Perhaps no corneal manifestation of systemic disease is as recognizable as the verticillata seen with the use of the anti-arrhythmic medication amiodarone. These gray to golden whorled deposits in the interpalpebral region of cornea occur almost universally in patients on this drug and generally dissipate upon cessation of the medication.\textsuperscript{10}

The rate of appearance upon initiation of the medication (days to months) and the disappearance upon cessation (months to years) varies widely from individual to individual.\textsuperscript{10}

While quite prominent with biomicroscopy, verticillata generally have no effect on vision beyond occasional reports of glare and halos. Amiodarone is the most common source of verticillata, but there are other sources as well, including chloroquine, hydroxychloroquine—where, in the setting of corneal deposits, there is also a higher rate of retinopathy—tamoxifen, phenothiazine, suramin and indomethacin, among others.\textsuperscript{10,11}

While it may seem confusing for this widely varied group of medications to share the potential for creating verticillata, it is not their respective mechanisms that result in the manifestation, but the

\begin{figure}
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\caption{Active peripheral ulcerative keratitis in a 35-year-old with thinning, vascularization and lipid deposit.}
\end{figure}

**Systemic Etiologies of PUK**

- Rheumatoid arthritis
- Wegener’s granulomatosis
- Polyarteritis nodosa
- Relapsing polychondritis
- Systemic lupus erythematosus
- Sjögren’s syndrome
- Churg-Strauss syndrome
- Syphilis
- Lyme disease
- Herpes zoster
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biochemical behavior of the molecules. They all share amphiphilic properties, which allows the molecules to penetrate and create lipid-based complexes within lysosomes. This eventually leads to the whorl keratopathy.

These lysosome-born deposits are generally held in the basal epithelium. Confocal microscopy has also identified abnormalities within the anterior stroma. The deposits and other abnormalities appear to develop as medication precipitates through the tear film, a reason why concomitant contact lens use may result in more dramatic whorls.

Beyond pharmaceuticals, Fabry’s disease—an X-linked recessive disorder of lipid metabolism and one of many metabolic diseases that results in corneal deposits—has a near-universal link to verticillata as well. Though the verticillata seen with Fabry’s tend to display more well-formed vortices (whereas drug-induced variants have more of a “cat’s whisker appearance”), the underlying deposit, again, is lipid complexes within lysosomes of the deep epithelium.

While the link between Fabry’s and corneal deposits is very strong, the chances of diagnosing the condition based on ocular exam is quite low due to the limited symptomology associated with its ocular manifestations. In fact, in a study that looked at the utility of community ocular screening for Fabry’s disease, only one case was discovered in over eight million patients—a fact that led the authors to question the utility of community eye screenings for this disease. The authors, however, then go on to point out how important it is to seize these rare opportunities to recognize the findings of verticillata when they could potentially yield a diagnosis of Fabry’s.

Corneal crystals that develop in middle age may be caused by either local dystrophy or systemic metabolic disease. This group of patients must also have plasma cell dyscrasias on the differential diagnosis. Plasma cell dyscrasias—which include multiple myeloma, monoclonal gammopathy of unde-
help steer the clinician toward an important diagnosis. In the absence of other diagnostic clues, it may justify a hematology referral.

**Band Keratopathy**

A frequently encountered sequela of chronic ocular inflammation, band keratopathy is characterized by a sub-Bowman’s layer opacification due to deposition of calcium phosphate. In addition to being caused by various ocular pathologies, systemic diseases that cause hypercalcemia may also lead to band keratopathy.

In hyperparathyroidism, which results in systemic hypercalcemia, band keratopathy may be among the presenting signs. Interestingly, as opposed to ocular band keratopathy, this keratopathy may spontaneously regress when the underlying etiology has been treated. Other systemic etiologies of band keratopathy are sarcoidosis, gout, chronic renal failure, multiple myeloma and metastatic disease.

In general, band keratopathy in an otherwise unremarkable eye should generate an appropriate level of clinical suspicion that systemic hypercalcemia may be playing a role. These patients should be asked about symptoms of bone pain or frequent urination, and be sent for urinalysis and blood tests.

**Infection**

Although corneal manifestations of endogenous infectious disease are rare, given the significance of their underlying etiologies it’s important for clinicians to be aware of them. Perhaps the most well-described form is phlyctenular keratoconjunctivitis and its association with systemic *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection.

Corneal phlyctenules are nodular lesions made up of lymphoid tissue; they may either be limbal or, when on the clear cornea, remain attached by a vascular tuft to the limbus. Their nodules may be smooth or ulcerated depending on their staging, and when isolated to the limbus they leave no clear zone between limbus and cornea.

The lesions represent a delayed-onset hypersensitivity reaction to a wide variety of microbial and viral antigens, the most common being blepharitis associated with *Staphylococcus*. When associated with tuberculosis, these will occur almost exclusively at a young age, as the body eventually becomes desensitized to the causative antigen as it ages.

Though the precise etiology will dictate treatment, all phlyctenules will respond locally to topical corticosteroids. As phlyctenules are a hypersensitivity reaction, the topical use of corticosteroids is safe and in no way contraindicated by the underlying infectious etiology, though of course when a systemic etiology is suspected concurrent systemic treatment is necessary.

A second mycobacterial infection, *Mycobacterium leprae*, is actually reported to have the highest rate of ocular involvement in an infected individual of any systemic infectious disease, with estimates of ocular involvement being as high as 100% in an untreated population. Though the worldwide burden has been significantly reduced with effective multidrug therapy (MDT) and the disease

---

**Local and Systemic Causes of Corneal Phlyctenules**

- *Staphylococcus*
- *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*
- *Chlamydia*
- *Coccidioides*
- Fungal infections
- Protozoan infection
- Parasitic disease
- Rosacea

---

**Metabolic Dysfunction and the Cornea**

There are close to 40 recognized lysosomal storage diseases that may result in ocular findings, many of which will generate cornea-specific findings. All of these disorders share the common mechanism of metabolic products becoming trapped in lysosomes due to lack of a variety of metabolic enzymes. For more on metabolic diseases affecting the cornea, Holland’s *Cornea* (specifically, chapter 64 by Kenyon, Navon and Haritoglou) covers this broad group of disorders and their wide range of possible effects on the cornea.

---

**Band Keratopathy**

A frequently encountered sequela of chronic ocular inflammation, band keratopathy is characterized by a sub-Bowman’s layer opacification due to deposition of calcium phosphate. In addition to being caused by various ocular pathologies, systemic diseases that cause hypercalcemia may also lead to band keratopathy.

In hyperparathyroidism, which results in systemic hypercalcemia, band keratopathy may be among the presenting signs. Interestingly, as opposed to ocular band keratopathy, this keratopathy may spontaneously regress when the underlying etiology has been treated. Other systemic etiologies of band keratopathy are sarcoidosis, gout, chronic renal failure, multiple myeloma and metastatic disease.

In general, band keratopathy in an otherwise unremarkable eye should generate an appropriate level of clinical suspicion that systemic hypercalcemia may be playing a role. These patients should be asked about symptoms of bone pain or frequent urination, and be sent for urinalysis and blood tests.

**Infection**

Although corneal manifestations of endogenous infectious disease are rare, given the significance of their underlying etiologies it’s important for clinicians to be aware of them. Perhaps the most well-described form is phlyctenular keratoconjunctivitis and its association with systemic *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection.

Corneal phlyctenules are nodular lesions made up of lymphoid tissue; they may either be limbal or, when on the clear cornea, remain attached by a vascular tuft to the limbus. Their nodules may be smooth or ulcerated depending on their staging, and when isolated to the limbus they leave no clear zone between limbus and cornea.

The lesions represent a delayed-onset hypersensitivity reaction to a wide variety of microbial and viral antigens, the most common being blepharitis associated with *Staphylococcus*. When associated with tuberculosis, these will occur almost exclusively at a young age, as the body eventually becomes desensitized to the causative antigen as it ages.

Though the precise etiology will dictate treatment, all phlyctenules will respond locally to topical corticosteroids. As phlyctenules are a hypersensitivity reaction, the topical use of corticosteroids is safe and in no way contraindicated by the underlying infectious etiology, though of course when a systemic etiology is suspected concurrent systemic treatment is necessary.

A second mycobacterial infection, *Mycobacterium leprae*, is actually reported to have the highest rate of ocular involvement in an infected individual of any systemic infectious disease, with estimates of ocular involvement being as high as 100% in an untreated population. Though the worldwide burden has been significantly reduced with effective multidrug therapy (MDT) and the disease...
is currently most associated with developing countries, leprosy does still constitute a significant worldwide source of ocular morbidity. In the United States, a country of immigrants, it is something with which the eye care provider should at least have passing familiarity.

As the organism has a predilection for cooler temperatures, the anterior segment and adnexa, which are 4° to 6° C cooler than the deep orbit, are the affected tissues. Nearly all other forms of ocular disease associated with systemic infection generally show predilection for the posterior segment; however, whether leprosy affects the posterior segment at all is a matter of debate. These lesions represent accumulations of active bacillus within “foam cell” monocytes; however, given the down-regulation of the immune response to *M. leprae* over time, they display little to no local infiltration of white cells. Further, as no culture media exists for *M. leprae*, they cannot be effectively processed for microbiologic studies.

Treatment regimens for ocular disease resulting from leprosy is similar to that of tuberculosis: local corticosteroids are fine when indicated, but refer to infectious disease for confirmation of diagnosis (in this case with skin biopsy) and initiation of multidrug therapy.

Syphilis

Interstitial keratitis associated with syphilis is among the most widely recognized corneal effects of infectious systemic disease. Syphilitic keratitis, in and of itself, is an atypical manifestation of an increasingly uncommon disease, accounting for only 5% of all cases of syphilitic eye disease, with most of these cases being caused by the congenital form of the disease. Though the rate of acquired syphilis is increasing again, the congenital disease remains uncommon, affecting only one in one million. Bilateral presentation is most frequently associated with the congenital disease, as is the tendency to develop ghost vessels upon resolution.

Though the term “congenital syphilis” may lead the practitioner to view this disease as one of gestation and infancy, syphilitic interstitial keratitis is a late manifestation of congenital disease that commonly develops between the ages of five and 20. Likewise, with the acquired disease, keratitis is most typically a

Deep interstitial ghost vessels in a patient with contact lens induced keratitis mimicking syphilitic keratitis.
late manifestation, occurring years after the original infection.

In both congenital and acquired disease, the keratitis initially creates a deep focal inflammation of the stroma, typically starting in the periphery with a slight predilection for the superior cornea. In congenital cases, this will sequentially develop within months in the fellow eye. Vascularization typically follows the initial inflammation and perpetuates it, before ultimately burning out and regressing.

In acquired syphilis, the initial keratitis resembles congenital disease, though tends to remain less severe, unilateral and avascular. Though uncommon—and in the acquired form limited primarily to those who practice risky sexual behaviors or intravenous drug use—syphilis should be suspected in any case of bilateral stromal keratitis or deep stromal keratitis without a previous episode of more superficial disease (i.e., if it’s not herpetic).

Unfortunately, patients without a previous diagnosis do not tell you they have congenital syphilis and I’ve yet to have a patient write “risky sexual behavior” or voluntarily document “intravenous drug user” on their initial health intake form. Because of this lack of transparency, serologic testing for syphilis should be ordered in cases where clinical suspicion puts syphilis on a differential. But keep in mind that there are a number of non-syphilitic causes of interstitial keratitis and corneal ghost vessels, with some being as simple as contact lens use. Within the United States, herpetic disease is twice as likely as any other pathology to cause the presentation.

While this review is far from complete—with important manifestations such as copper deposits in the corneal periphery as seen with Wilson’s disease being omitted—hopefully it serves as a useful review and reminder of the spectrum and possible seriousness of these manifestations both locally to the cornea itself and systemically. It’s important to periodically be reminded that although our focus and attention is on the organ of the eye, the overall health of the patient is ultimately the most important consideration for us as optometrists. Where ocular and systemic diseases converge, it is our responsibility as the nation’s primary eye care provider to be astute in our observation and conscientious in our assessments so we can refer patients in an appropriate and timely manner. You might just be saving someone’s life.

**Dr. Bronner is a staff optometrist at the Pacific Cataract and Laser Institute of Kennewick, Wash.**
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1. Which is NOT a manifestation of diabetic keratoathy?
   a. Mild corneal edema.
   b. Reduced nerve density of the sub-basal plexus.
   c. Epithelial polymorphism.
   d. Endothelial polymorphism.

2. Diabetic keratoathy:
   a. Is associated with thinning of the cornea.
   b. Is associated with hypersensitivity of corneal nerves.
   c. Can be roughly correlated with level of retinopathy.
   d. Cannot be roughly correlated with systemic polyneuropathy.

3. Waite-Beetham lines:
   a. Are only found in diabetics.
   b. Tend to indicate worsening systemic disease.
   c. Manifest as very fine, densely distributed and vertically oriented lines in the deep cornea.
   d. Were first described in the 1930s.

4. Diabetic corneal neuropathy can be quantified with:
   a. Confocal microscopy.
   b. Esthesiometry.
   c. Pachymetry.
   d. Careful slit lamp examination.

5. Due to its association with worsening systemic vasculitis, peripheral ulcerative keratitis is associated with a mortality rate as high as:
   a. 10% if systemic immune modulatory treatment is not initiated.
   b. 25% if systemic immune modulatory treatment is not initiated.
   c. 50% if systemic immune modulatory treatment is not initiated.
   d. 75% if systemic immune modulatory treatment is not initiated.

6. Which of the following is not a cause of peripheral ulcerative keratitis?
   a. Wegener’s granulomatosis.
   b. Vitamin A deficiency.
   c. Rheumatoid arthritis.
   d. Herpes zoster.

7. Which treatment(ies) is/are contraindicated in management of peripheral ulcerative keratitis?
   a. Oral corticosteroids.
   b. Topical corticosteroids.
   c. Sub-Tenon’s corticosteroids.
   d. Both b and c.

8. Which of the following is NOT true regarding corneal verticillata?
   a. They occur nearly universally in patients on amiodarone.
   b. They are associated with a higher risk of retinopathy when seen in association with hydroxychloroquine.
   c. They are caused by carbohydrate deposits within lysosomes.
   d. They may be more prominent in contact lens wearers who use caustive medications.

9. Fabry’s disease:
   a. Results in corneal whorls that are biochemically similar to those seen in drug-induced verticillata.
   b. Is an X-linked disorder of carbohydrate metabolism.
   c. Typically generates less prominent whorls than those seen with medically-induced verticillata.
   d. Is often initially suspected based on corneal findings.

10. Which of the following should NOT be considered in a differential diagnosis of a patient with corneal crystals?
   a. Metabolic disease.
   b. Multiple myeloma.
   c. Chronic myelogenous leukemia.
   d. Bietti’s corneo-retinal dystrophy.

11. The plasma cell dyscrasias are a family of hematologic conditions that result in:
   a. Excess production of immunogloblin.
   b. Proliferation of lymphatic tissue.
   c. Too much hemoglobin within the blood.
   d. Accumulation of carbohydrate within lysosomes.

12. Which is true regarding cystinosis?
   a. It is the only metabolic disease that may cause corneal findings.
   b. The infantile form may cause death.
   c. The adult onset form is the most severe.
   d. Only the infantile form may result in corneal crystals.

13. Band keratopathy is NOT associated with this systemic condition:
   a. Hyperparathyroidism.
   b. Hyperthyroidism.
   c. Sarcoidosis.
   d. Gout.

14. Which is true regarding corneal phlyctenules?
   a. They represent a Type III hypersensitivity response.
   b. There will always be a lucid zone between the lesion and the limbus.
   c. Though they are related to systemic disease, they represent an active local ocular infection.
   d. They are most associated with tuberculosis.
Corneal Harbingers of Systemic Disease

Directions: Select one answer for each question in the exam and completely darken the appropriate circle. A minimum score of 70% is required to earn credit.

Mail to: Jobson - Optometric CE, PO Box 488, Canal Street Station, New York, NY 10013

Payment: Remit $35 with this exam. Make check payable to Jobson Medical Information LLC.

COPE approval for 2 hours of CE credit is pending for this course.

There is an eight-to-ten week processing time for this exam.

1. A B C D
2. A B C D
3. A B C D
4. A B C D 21. Met the goal statement: 1 2 3 4 5
5. A B C D 22. Related to your practice needs: 1 2 3 4 5
8. A B C D 25. How would you rate the overall quality of the material presented? 1 2 3 4 5
9. A B C D 26. Your knowledge of the subject was increased: Greatly Somewhat Little
10. A B C D 27. The difficulty of the course was: Complex Appropriate Basic
11. A B C D
12. A B C D
13. A B C D
14. A B C D
15. A B C D
16. A B C D
17. A B C D
18. A B C D
19. A B C D
20. A B C D

Suggested topics for future CE articles:

Please retain a copy for your records. Please print clearly.

First Name ________________________ Last Name ________________________
E-Mail ____________________________

The following is your: Home Address Business Address

Business Name ________________________
Address ______________________________
City __________________ State _________
ZIP _________________________________
Telephone # _________________________ Fax # _______________________

By submitting this answer sheet, I certify that I have read the lesson in its entirety and completed the self-assessment exam personally based on the material presented. I have not obtained the answers to this exam by any fraudulent or improper means.

Signature ______________________ Date ____________

Lesson 111213 RO-OSC-0415

---

15. Which is NOT a possible causes of phlyctenules?
   a. Mycobacterium tuberculosis.
   b. Mycobacterium leprae.
   c. Staphylococcus.
   d. Rosacea.

16. Which systemic infection has the highest rate of ocular involvement?
   a. Herpes simplex.
   b. Herpes zoster.
   c. Syphilis.
   d. Leprosy.

17. Which is true regarding leprosy?
   a. The most common ocular manifestation is posterior uveitis.
   b. The keratitis associated with the disease may be cultured to aid in the diagnosis.
   c. Culture material is Lowenstein-Jensen medium.
   d. The diagnosis can only be achieved by a skin biopsy.

18. The keratitis associated with leprosy:
   a. Is associated with vascularization.
   b. Generally begins in the inferior cornea.
   c. Manifests as discrete, chalky, subepithelial opacities.
   d. Is associated with significant corneal inflammation.

19. Which is the most common cause of interstitial keratitis in the US?
   a. Lyme disease.
   b. Herpetic eye disease.
   c. Cogan’s syndrome.
   d. Syphilis.

20. Which is true regarding syphilitic interstitial keratitis?
   a. It’s most commonly a manifestation of early congenital disease.
   b. It’s most commonly a manifestation of late acquired disease.
   c. Vascularization and ghost vessels are most associated with congenital disease.
Visual field testing is an essential part of eye care, necessary for diagnosis and management of multiple conditions we see on a daily basis. Perimetry is subjective in nature, and it is necessary to take care in both the acquisition and analysis of the testing data. Many barriers to successful visual field testing exist, but much of the frustration encountered can be avoided by following some basic guidelines and using all the technological features today’s devices offer.

1. Pick the right test
Most visual field testing is “standard automated perimetry” (SAP). SAP is a computerized, threshold static perimetry that tests the central visual field with a white stimulus on a white background. Threshold testing has been the standard for glaucoma care since the mid 1980s, offering many advantages over the older standard of manual kinetic perimetry.\(^1\)\(^2\)

SAP test patterns can be categorized as threshold or screening. Common threshold patterns are 10-2, 24-2, 30-2 and 60-4. Field analysis in glaucoma relies primarily on the 24-2 and 30-2 patterns, as the majority of ganglion cells lie within the central 30 degrees of fixation.\(^3\) Use of 24-2 has become increasingly prevalent as the test of choice in glaucoma due to its faster testing time and reduced trial lens and lid artifact errors. A 24-2 has 54 test points and is identical to the 72-point 30-2 testing protocol except for the removal of most outer ring test points (24-2 retains the two outermost nasal points from the 30-2 pattern).

Both of these patterns have test points spaced six degrees apart. Points straddle the mid-line, allowing for better identification of glaucomatous defects.

Swedish Interactive Thresholding Algorithm (SITA) Standard in 24-2 pattern with stimulus size III is generally the preferable test for most routine glaucoma and neurological testing.\(^4\) Clinicians often have the misconception that SITA Fast strategy is an easier test for patients who have difficulty taking a SITA Standard or full threshold strategy test. SITA Fast does take 2-5 minutes per eye to perform (compared with 3-7 minutes per eye for SITA Standard). However, the algorithm it uses presents points requiring more discretion from the patient, and it is best used in experienced test takers or young patients.\(^5\)

2. Know when to modify the testing strategy
Stimulus size III is standard for most situations and should be used in patients with 20/200 or better. Increase size to V in patients with
poorer vision (this may be indicated in some patients with advanced glaucoma). When altering the stimulus, keep in mind that the normative database, SITA test strategy, and progression analysis will no longer be available. When severe field loss in advanced glaucoma is present, change to a 10-2 pattern to allow for more accurate assessment of the remaining visual field. In cases where vision is reduced due to macular disease or central scotoma, use a diamond fixation target—this displays four LEDs, allowing the patient to center their gaze between the targets.

3. Don’t overlook the central field in early glaucoma
   The macula is +/-8 degrees from fixation and represents only a small portion of the retinal area, but contains about 30% of the retinal ganglion cells. A 24-2 test only includes four points within this highly sensitive region. The 24-2 test protocol was designed to detect nasal and arcuate glaucomatous defects. These defects originate from damage to the arcuate nerve fiber bundles—often visible on funduscopy with retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) wedge defects or neuroretinal rim notching.

   Research shows significant sections of the inferior macula are associated with the inferior arcuate bundle (the majority of macular ganglion cells associated with the temporal quadrant of the optic nerve which is often preserved in early glaucomatous disease). If there is even a single central point defect with a 24-2 or 30-2 pattern, consider adding a 10-2 test to your assessment (figure 1). This will allow proper detection of early macular damage. The inferior temporal quadrant of the circumpapillary RNFL is particularly susceptible to this damage and will correlate with a small arcuate defect in superior central visual field. This 35-degree region of the RNFL has been termed the “macular vulnerability zone.”

   Also, be aware that macular damage can present diffusely rather than sectorally.

4. Understand the roles of the technician and physician
   It’s important that the staff and physician maintain positive attitudes about the value of perimetry to encourage the patient to provide optimal results during testing. Although the physician should not administer the test personally, they should take time during the exam to stress to the patient the importance of perimetry in the management of their ocular disease. Physicians should continue to encourage patients when subsequent testing is ordered or reviewed.
Technicians should periodically get a refresher on the importance of perimetry. Those performing perimetry should take the test themselves, so they can more effectively explain it to patients. Technicians should always be present during the testing period so they may provide re-education, as necessary, and feedback regarding testing reliability. A technician who does not properly respond to patients’ perimetry complaints promotes poor test taking.

5. Recognize, reduce artifacts
Peripheral points, particularly in a 30-2 test, are susceptible to variability and artifact. Trial lens artifacts usually produce sharp depressions at peripheral points, often in a ring pattern. These artifacts are more common in moderate-high hyperopic corrections and when two trial lenses are used. Make certain the lens is placed as close to the eye as possible; also, using spherical equivalent up to 2.00D of refractive cylinder will help reduce some of these errors.

Pupil size smaller than 2mm or larger than 6mm can induce artifacts. If you decide to dilate a patient due to miosis, make certain to remain consistent on subsequent testing. If ptosis or dermatochalasis produce obstruction of the superior field, then the eyelids may be taped for testing (again, this should be noted on the field report to maintain consistency on all testing).

6. Interpreting results systematically
Don’t take shortcuts in reviewing data from visual fields—the professional component of testing is the interpretation, and each analysis report contains a wealth of data.

Know if a test is reliable; fixation losses greater than 20% can indicate poor reliability, but improper mapping of the blind spot can cause false elevation of this index. Gaze tracking allows for more accurate interpretation of patient fixation stability. Gaze tracking measures up to one degree, whereas traditional fixation monitoring is sensitive for three degrees (half the size of the physiologic blind spot).

False positives are a key reliability index. “Trigger happy” patients will push the response button in the absence of a stimulus. False positives are primarily important in tests that have defects—they are not a reason to invalidate an otherwise unremarkable or clear visual field test. If this index is higher than 15%, the test needs to be invalidated or repeated (even 5% to 10% should be scrutinized). Tests with high false positives are automatically removed from a Humphrey Guided Progression Analysis (GPA).

False negatives represent variability in patient responses and are seen at increasing levels in depressed visual fields. A false negative value of 10% to 15% or more is suggestive of inattention in a patient without a significant field defect. If significant glaucomatous loss is present, false negatives should not deem a test unreliable if it otherwise appears reliable.

Age matters—the Humphrey database uses norms grouped by age in 10-year intervals. Keep in mind that a patient’s results may appear to improve due to this grouping effect. For instance, if testing was performed at age 59 and, on subsequent examinations, age 60, the second test would be compared with a different database than the first test.

Know if you are detecting or monitoring a defect. Your interpretation strategy should differ in patients being evaluated for the presence of a glaucomatous defect and patients who have established visual field loss.

In patients who are glaucoma suspects, rely on the Glaucoma Hemifield Test (GHT) and the pattern deviation probability map. GHT was designed to have high...
YOUR EXAM... ONLY BETTER!

The Veatch Digital Refraction System eliminates costly inefficiencies, enhances your practice, and makes the exam process more enjoyable for you and your patients.

• Increased efficiency • Combat reductions in reimbursements
• Enhanced practice image • EMR integration • Patient referrals
  • Increased optical sales • Competitive advantage
  • Eliminate back and shoulder pain and bursitis

FREE
Visual Acuity System* with purchase of Digital Refractor

YOUR EXAM…ONLY BETTER!

Exam Lane Packages
Starting at $12,995

ReSeeVit™
• Anterior Segment Imaging
• Retinal Imaging
• Corneal Wavefront Topography

Insight Visual Acuity System
ONLY $1,295

TOLL FREE: 800.447.7511    E-MAIL: ad@voi2020.com    www.voi2020.com
sensitivity and specificity for glaucomatous defects. It uses five zones in each hemifield and tests them for symmetry based on a normative database.

GHT outside normal limits is displayed when at least one zone is at \( p < 1\% \). This finding has been shown to have 94% specificity. GHT borderline is displayed when the \( p \) value is between 1% and 3%. Generalized depression indicates that the most sensitive test points are less than 50% of normal. Abnormally high sensitivity is a red flag and indicates low reliability (usually associated with a high rate of positives).

If a field shows scattered non-specific depressions, keep in mind that a diagnostic early glaucomatous defect is generally recognized as a repetitive cluster of three or more points on the same side of the horizontal meridian all reaching statistical significance (with one or more points having \( p < 1\% \) significance)—the test points adjacent to the blind spot may be ignored.

If a patient with visual field loss is being monitored with serial examination, use the mean deviation (MD), pattern standard deviation (PSD) and visual field index (VFI) to track progression. MD is sensitive to media opacity, uncorrected refractive error, and miosis. MD will be less sensitive in early-stage glaucoma and other cases with localized field loss.

The GHT can better aid in identifying early, localized defects, and PSD can be useful for tracking these milder defects. In the presence of other suspicious findings, a PSD of \( p < 5\% \) is a strong indicator of glaucomatous loss. PSD, however, will spike in early disease and peak in the early part of advanced disease; however, it will then however decrease due to reduced overall field sensitivity (worsening cataract may also decrease PSD).

VFI is a metric that was created to help with staging and progression of glaucoma. It is intended to reflect ganglion cell loss and function. VFI is displayed in a percentage from 0 to 100. It is weighted preferentially to central points and more resistance to cataract.

7. Test, test, repeat
The real utility of visual fields lies in tracking progression of glaucomatous defects. The European Glaucoma Society (EGS) recommends visual field testing several times yearly for the first two years after diagnosis. This will help establish a rate of progression and identify the roughly one in six glaucoma patients who progress at a dangerously high rate (greater than 2dB per year). If this frequency is not reasonable in your practice setting, then test at least twice yearly during the first two years. Testing frequency can decrease at the two-year and five-year marks once a progression rate is reliably established.

Also, keep in mind that an artifactual reduction in sensitivity may be seen on the first perimetric test in approximately 10% of patients.

These patients may require two or three tests to produce an accurate and reliable baseline result.

8. Be on the look out for masquerading retinal and optic nerve conditions
Concomitant retinal or neurological disease can confound interpretation of visual field defects in many patients. Altitudinal or arcuate field defects may be seen in anterior ischemic optic neuropathy, vascular occlusion, optic disc drusen (figure 2) or sectoral retinal photocoagulation treatment. Peripheral field constriction may be present in optic neuritis, nonglaucomatous optic atrophy, advanced retinitis pigmentosa or acute zonal occult ocular retinopathy (AZOOR). Nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy can also cause scattered visual field deviations, even in mild stages. Moderate and severe diabetic retinopathy will more likely have a dense and repeatable visual field defect.

9. Use progression analysis tools
We expect progression in the majority of glaucoma patients. In fact, the Early Manifest Glaucoma Treatment Trial showed that 59% of glaucoma patients will progress...
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in eight years, even if treated and well controlled.17 Determining if the rate of progression will affect visual function and quality of life is important when making the decision to proceed with escalating therapy that carries increased risk of side effect. Even in light of 21st century technology, serial visual field testing remains the most accurate means of determining progression in glaucoma. Modern perimeters are equipped with powerful software tools that allow practitioners to accurately track these metrics.

The best tools for progression analysis on HFA units are GPA Change Probability, VFI trend, and MD trend, and linear regression and cluster regression analysis on Octopus units. GPA requires a minimum of five tests to fully utilize its features. Two tests will be selected automatically for baseline, but these tests may be manually selected. From this baseline, GPA is able to provide both event- and trend-based progression analysis on future tests (figure 3).

Event-based progression determines whether or not progression has occurred on a point-by-point basis. Trend-based progression will determine the rate of progression. Event-based progression analysis has been used in several landmark glaucoma clinical trials (such as EMGT, AGIS and CIGTS).18-20 The GPA Change Probability Map displays a point-by-point analysis and identifies progression if at least three points have worsened (marked as “possible progression” if repeated in two tests and “likely progression” if repeated in three tests). These criteria for progression were established by the EMGT.18

Using this method has 96% sensitivity in 30-2 and 91% in 24-2. Keep in mind that detecting progression often takes two or more years. EMGT had a mean of 33 months to progression in 30-2 protocols and 37 months for 24-2.5

Trend-based progression will display linear regression analysis of VFI. If the tests span two or more years, the software will plot a future prediction of progression. Prior to VFI, MD was used for progression analysis, but VFI provides a more accurate determination in the presence of cataract and cataract surgery.21

If a patient experiences nonglaucomatous loss due to vascular occlusion, ischemic optic neuropathy or panretinal laser, it is necessary to establish a new baseline for visual field testing as well as change the tests used in progression analysis. Make certain to check the two baseline tests in GPA for accuracy. Any tests are usable unless false positives are higher than 15%. Avoid using fields that are further than 6-12 months apart for baseline. Update to new baselines if there is a significant change in therapy (such as filtration procedure). Also note that GPA is not available when MD is over 20dB.

Using progression analysis for nonglaucomatous field loss should be limited to VFI linear regression/trend analysis (the GPA change probability was designed for use in glaucoma). Some conditions, such as optic neuritis, may have such a high inherent variability that quantitative progression analysis is not possible. For neurological field loss, the overview report is preferred.

10. Make the correlation between structure, function

Conventional wisdom holds that structural change precedes functional loss in glaucoma. However, we often see patients who demonstrate significant RNFL loss prior to repeated visual field defects as well as patients progressing on their fields without detectable progression of RNFL or ganglion cell loss. Clinicians should nonetheless seek to find correlation of structure and function to help strengthen diagnosis and bring attention to specific areas in complementary testing components.

Understand that early glaucomatous defects may have variable depth and location (although they will be in the same area). The defect will deepen into a repeatable defect with time. The nasal and superior fields are more likely to show early glaucomatous defects.

Extensive RNFL loss (~30%) is necessary to produce a visual field defect.22,23 An individual (especially a patient with a large optic nerve) may have as much as one third of her or his RNFL deteriorate while still maintaining “green” normative levels on OCT analysis (this is often referred to as “green disease”).24 A recent study reported the highest correlation between progression on OCT and visual field to occur at a 10µm RNFL loss on SD-OCT.25 For many patients, advanced glaucomatous optic atrophy will result in a severely depressed RNFL that does not allow for proper detection of progression. For these patients in particular, visual field testing will best provide information about the progression of their disease.

Having an idea of the patient’s independent risk factors for glaucoma, aside from structural and functional testing, can allow clinicians to either raise or lower their threshold for diagnosis. If a patient is high risk given IOP and age, then a structure and function correlation is certainly not necessary to establish good certainty of a diagnosis. Conversely, you would
hold testing on a healthy 40-year-old patient to a high degree of specificity—the condition is much less commonly seen in that population and the diagnosis carries with it the potential of significant burden due to the long life expectancy.

Dr. Horton is a staff optometrist at the Cincinnati VA Medical Center. He is also an adjunct faculty member at Ohio State University College of Optometry.

The maiden battle to gain prescription rights was first won by optometrists in West Virginia in 1976. Other states have all followed suit, but with these privileges often come challenges.

From insurance coverage strangeholds to deciding whether a patient with financial constraints will do as well on a more affordable generic (instead of the brand name you’d prefer), optometrists often face difficult prescribing decisions even before they pick up their Rx pad.

“The fact that many of the branded prescriptions we typically write are becoming more and more difficult to obtain for a patient because of medical insurance and pharmacy plans is a major problem,” says optometrist Ben Gaddie of Louisville, Ky. “We’re often advised to use a drug perhaps two or three generations old that just doesn’t get the job done. And when the patient fails on it, then we have to prescribe multiple drugs in that category or in multiple categories just to cover the original drug we prescribed. And when we can actually get a drug that is covered, sometimes it’s financially out of reach for the patient.”

This article looks at some typical and not-so-typical modern day prescribing challenges, and how to tackle them.

Challenge #1: Brand name or generic?
The idea that a generic drug is an adequate Plan B for a brand-name drug is still hotly debated.

The FDA requires that generic drug manufacturers demonstrate bioequivalence to the branded drug, so the generic must contain the same concentration of active ingredients as the branded drug’s formulation. But other variations are permitted in the generic, such as inactive ingredients and preservatives, which can alter characteristics of the medication and change the efficacy and side effects of the drug.

“As a general rule, the doctor should always prescribe the most appropriate medication for each patient’s condition,” says optometrist Jimmy Bartlett of Birmingham, Ala.

In practice, however, managed care, insurance and economic issues must be considered, and these often supersede the theoretical optimum, Dr. Bartlett adds.

“We always want to use what is in the best interest of each patient, and therapeutic care may entail using a somewhat less desirable generic substitute, particularly if the alternative is no therapeutic care at all,” he says. “Unfortunately, in this age of managed care, we are forced to have these discussions with the patient as a matter of routine, whereas in years past these issues required only an occasional discussion in problematic situations.”
Here are some considerations to keep in mind when deciding whether to prescribe a brand-name drug or a generic:

- **Generics are not necessarily cheaper.** In some cases, generic alternatives are not a lower-cost option. In fact, Freehold, NJ, optometrist William Potter says some generic prices are “through the ceiling.”

  “To some degree, it’s an upside-down world,” Dr. Potter says.

Dr. Potter says he writes a fair amount of prescriptions for Tobra-dex ST (tobramycin 0.3%/dexamethasone 0.05%, Alcon) and gives his patients coupons to help offset the price, so the final cost of the brand-name medication is approximately $40 to $50.

“We wrote for Tobradex generically a few months ago,” Dr. Potter says. “The patient called from the pharmacy and said, ‘I can’t do this. This generic drug costs $100.’ Now remember, this is a drug that’s been on the market for 25 years, and it’s been generic for three years. We called the pharmacist who told us, ‘If it wasn’t for the patient’s insurance, generic Tobradex would have cost $250.’ And that’s for a little, ‘white bottle’ legacy drug. This is the kind of thing we’re up against.”

- **Assess the clinical need.** Looking at the patient’s clinical condition, when should an OD write for brand name only?

  “Some brands trump generics, but not necessarily in every patient,” says Jill Autry, OD, RPh, of Houston.

For example, Dr. Autry generally prescribes brand-name Pred Forte (prednisolone acetate 1%, Allergan) rather than the generic equivalent. “It is fairly well established that the Pred Forte brand has better suspension qualities than the generic, especially with a more severe pathology,” she says. “In those cases, I write for branded Pred Forte, but I also tell the patient that I’m writing for brand name only and they should not allow the pharmacist to substitute.”

Dr. Autry reinforces to patients that the brand name should be visible on the side of the bottle, and she stresses they should not leave the pharmacy unless they have the right medication. “I don’t really get any pushback because of the way I present it to the patient, and pharmacies in the Houston area often carry the brand.”

When considering a brand name or generic, Dr. Gaddie first looks at the urgency or degree of the patient’s condition. If a patient has a very aggressive uveitis, for instance, he prescribes a brand-name steroid and not a generic.

“We know in the steroid class of medication, the gold standard has always been Pred Forte. There are many generic drug manufacturers, and we know from studies the generics aren’t nearly as efficacious as the branded drug,” Dr. Gaddie says.

This has much to do with the particle size of the steroid molecule. Because the steroid is a suspension, the patient has to shake the bottle in order to get the concentration of the drug correct. But many patients just don’t shake medications, he adds.

Additionally, the size of the actual steroid particle can make an impact on the solubility of the drug and therefore affect how well it treats the inflammation, he adds.

“For a serious condition such as uveitis, many doctors will insist on a brand-name medication—no generic substitution allowed.”

If I’m treating someone with just a mild ocular surface problem, a generic steroid may be fine. But if I’m treating someone with a serious inflammatory condition, then I really feel like the branded drugs work better and are quicker and safer than the generic drugs.”

Similarly, if a patient has a low-risk bacterial conjunctivitis, Dr. Gaddie will consider a generic antibiotic because most of the bacterial
strains that cause conjunctivitis are fairly responsive to most generic topical antibiotics, he says.

Looking at glaucoma drugs, Xalatan (latanoprost, Pfizer) now has a generic equivalent that is produced by numerous manufacturers in various countries. Thus, a patient may not be given the same generic version when refilling the Rx. Dr. Gaddie says that some patients do well on the generic, but other times, when a patient obtains a different generic version of latanoprost, it doesn’t work as well, he says.

“If I had a choice, I would want every patient to be on branded glaucoma drugs,” Dr. Gaddie says. “If a generic doesn’t work as well, then we have to add a second medication or maybe a third medication just to get the pressure down to where it needs to be than if we used a branded prostaglandin.”

- **Fight pharmacy substitution.** Optometrist Melvin Friedman of Memphis, Tenn., requires his patients to sign an Rx waiver citing they have been told the difference between generic vs. brand-name drugs.

He also trains his staff to handle calls from pharmacists who want to substitute with a generic. For example, Dr. Friedman recently wrote a prescription for Besivance (besifloxacin, Bausch + Lomb) and the pharmacist called the practice to see if generic ciprofloxacin could be used as a substitute, but the staff refused. Dr. Friedman called the pharmacist directly and told him ciprofloxacin and Besivance are not equivalent. The pharmacist blamed the insurance company for the switch, he says.

“If I had a choice, I would want every patient to be on branded glaucoma drugs,” Dr. Friedman says. “If a generic doesn’t work as well, then we have to add a second medication or maybe a third medication just to get the pressure down to where it needs to be than if we used a branded prostaglandin.”

- **Find a solution.** But what happens if the patient can’t afford the brand-name drug you prescribe?

If the patient’s insurance won’t cover the medication you prescribe for this corneal ulcer, or if the drug is in short supply, have a second option in mind.

- **Fight pharmacy substitution.** Optometrist Melvin Friedman of Memphis, Tenn., requires his patients to sign an Rx waiver citing they have been told the difference between generic vs. brand-name drugs.

He also trains his staff to handle calls from pharmacists who want to substitute with a generic. For example, Dr. Friedman recently wrote a prescription for Besivance (besifloxacin, Bausch + Lomb) and the pharmacist called the practice to see if generic ciprofloxacin could be used as a substitute, but the staff refused. Dr. Friedman called the pharmacist directly and told him ciprofloxacin and Besivance are not equivalent. The pharmacist blamed the insurance company for the switch, he says.

“If I had a choice, I would want every patient to be on branded glaucoma drugs,” Dr. Gaddie says. “If a generic doesn’t work as well, then we have to add a second medication or maybe a third medication just to get the pressure down to where it needs to be than if we used a branded prostaglandin.”

- **Find a solution.** But what happens if the patient can’t afford the brand-name drug you prescribe?
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Dr. Autry always tells the patient what she thinks is the best treatment option available. “If it’s not doable, then certainly we need to talk and see if there is a combination or a different medication that will work,” she says. “I tell this to the patient on the front end because $250 a month can be a big difference from $50 a month. For some people $50 is a lot, so you have to make a judgment call. In these cases, I’ll say, ‘There is another medication I can try, and we’ll see how it goes.’ And the patient will often say, ‘Well, $50 I can do.’”

If the brand-name drug is the best treatment option, optometrists can often help lessen the burden of the cost by offering manufacturers’ coupons or advising about patient assistance programs they may be eligible for. “I can’t tell you how many times patients don’t know these options are available,” Dr. Friedman says. “If the patient can’t afford it, we can help.”

**Challenge #2: How do you deal with state restrictions or limited supply?**

While a few states don’t allow ODs to prescribe oral meds (see “Prescribing With Hands Tied,” page 72), optometrists from these states should already have established working relationships with primary care physicians or ophthalmologists who can assist with prescribing needs, Dr. Bartlett says. ODs who work in the same office with ophthalmologists should have established “standing orders” whereby prescriptions can be written, e-prescribed or called in to the pharmacy on behalf of the patient but under the order (and thus shared legal responsibility) of the MD.

“It is much more cumbersome to establish relationships with physicians in other offices, but this was routinely done in most states prior to the enabling of statutory privileges to allow independent prescribing by optometrists,” Dr. Bartlett says.

In the event of drug shortage or if a pharmacy doesn’t immediately have the drug you want, always have a work-around, the experts say. For example, if a certain fixed-combination antibiotic/steroid is not available, prescribe a combination using a desirable topical antibiotic along with a separate topical steroid, Dr. Bartlett says.

When AzaSite (azithromycin, Akorn) was in limited supply to treat blepharitis off-label, Dr. Autry instead prescribed oral doxycycline or oral azithromycin. She says these drugs have worked well as a substitute, and they are generally cheaper.

Also in the case of blepharitis, Dr. Potter has three alternate options ahead of time—erythromycin ointment, oral doxycycline or azithromycin—if his preferred drug is unavailable.

“Really, for any medicine you prescribe, you have to be ready to have a work-around,” says optometrist Jeffry Gerson of Kansas City, Kan. “Although you always have a best choice in mind, you also need to have a second choice—whether there’s a shortage and lack of availability, or because the patient has a financial issue, or if the patient lives in a rural area and the pharmacy doesn’t have what you want and the pharmacist can’t get the medication for a few days.”

For example, if a patient has a corneal ulcer, Dr. Gerson’s first option is Zymaxid (gatifloxacin 0.5%, Allergan). If the pharmacy doesn’t have that in stock, he’s ready with his second choices, Moxeza (moxifloxacin 0.5%, Alcon) or Besivance. If neither of those is available, Dr. Gerson would ask what is in stock in the same drug class, followed by considering a generic that would be a close equivalent.

If a needed medication isn’t available topically—such as fortified ceftazidime for gram-negative coverage against *Pseudomonas*—consider special ordering it from a compounding pharmacy.
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Clinical Care

The ‘Non-Prescribing’ and Rx Switch Challenge

What do you do when a patient assumes he or she needs a prescription and expects you to get out your Rx pad before leaving the office?

This non-prescribing challenge is a common one, Dr. Gerson says. “It’s a delicate situation,” Dr. Gerson says. “You don’t want to discredit the other physician who saw them. You need to tell the patient, ‘I know the other doctor gave you a prescription for an antibiotic, but I would really like you to try this because I think it is going to work much better.’ It’s important to explain and be up front with the patient.”

Another Rx conundrum is when an ER or primary care doctor refers a patient to you, and the patient has already started a drug the referring doctor has prescribed that you don’t think will work. “It’s even more of a challenge if you want to prescribe them a brand drug, but the prescription they got from the emergency room cost $4 and the drug you want them to buy instead is $60,” Dr. Gerson says.

If the patient has already started the other medication and has had no improvement by the time he or she comes to see you, the discussion is easier. However, it’s more difficult if the patient has been on the other drug for only a day or two.

“It’s a delicate situation,” Dr. Gerson says. “You don’t want to discredit the other physician who saw them. You need to tell the patient, ‘I know the other doctor gave you a prescription for an antibiotic, but I would really like you to try this because I think it is going to work much better.’ It’s important to explain and be up front with the patient.”

Challenge #3: How do you effectively manage patients who have allergies, are taking other medications for concomitant disease, or are nursing/pregnant?

Know the issues that may arise from the patient’s medical history, such as:

- Managing medication allergies. A careful medication history almost always reveals the class of medications to which the patient may be allergic or sensitive, says Dr. Bartlett. It is usually possible to select an alternate drug class that can be safely prescribed, he adds.

  Dr. Gaddie finds many of his glaucoma patients can become allergic to brimonidine. The drug, developed in the late 1990s, was originally formulated at 0.2%.”There was a 15% to 20% allergy rate for glaucoma patients taking this drug,” Dr. Gaddie says. Allergan subsequently lowered the concentration but maintained the drug’s efficacy, and the allergy problem was for the most part mitigated, he says.

  However, that original 0.2% concentration was used in the development of Combigan (brimonidine 0.2%/timolol 0.5%, Allergan) and Simbrinza (brinzolamide 1%/brimonidine 0.2%, Alcon).

  “The problem is the development of this combo agent started before the newer concentration of brimonidine was available, so these two combination medicines contain the higher percentage formulation of brimonidine and its higher rate of allergy,” Dr. Gaddie says. “So for patients who are allergic, it knocks out two or three glaucoma medications.”

  In such cases, Dr. Gaddie tries a different class of medications or even considers laser trabeculoplasty as an option.

- Cautious concomitant prescribing. Doctors often treat patients for ophthalmic conditions who are also on other concomitant medications for systemic diseases, he adds. For example, topical beta-blockers are staple glaucoma drugs, but the patient may also be taking oral beta-blockers for their blood pressure. Studies have found the concomitant use of topical and oral beta-blocker drugs reduces the efficacy of both.

  Other patients have systemic medical conditions such as asthma, COPD, heart failure and arrhythmias, so the optometrist needs to aware of the patient’s health history and not put the patient at cardiac or pulmonary risk when using a beta-blocker or alpha agonists, for example, Dr. Gaddie says.

  “You really need to stay on top of the literature to recognize the trade names of certain drugs,” he says. “There are newer drugs that maybe we weren’t exposed to when we were in optometry school, but it’s still our responsibility to stay up-to-date and make sure there are no contraindications to any topical medications.”

- Prescribing for pregnant/nursing patients. Another challenge you face is managing pregnant or nursing patients. “Prescribing during pregnancy is always a risk-benefit consideration,” Dr. Bartlett says.

  Although the general rule is to avoid medications during pregnancy, this is often not practical, especially for chronic conditions such as allergies, glaucoma or ocular hypertension, he adds. Doctors may be able to select a drug in the comparatively safer FDA pregnancy category B, Dr. Bartlett says. When drugs in the more risky category C (such as topical steroids) are needed, the patient can be instructed on the technique of nasolacrimal occlusion for one to two minutes after each drop instillation.

  Dr. Gaddie is currently managing a pregnant 32-year-old patient diagnosed with glaucoma. The patient is...
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three months pregnant and planning to breastfeed. “All glaucoma medications are really contraindicated during pregnancy and breastfeeding, so I have to consider whether this patient is going to have significant vision loss or potentially go blind in a year-and-a-half and weigh that against the risk to the developing baby if the mother takes the medication.”

In this case, Dr. Gaddie is working closely with the patient’s obstetrician to determine the best treatment decision.

Challenge #4: When should you consider compounding medications for off-label use?

Compounding ophthalmic medications may be a necessity if you need a different strength, dosage, formulation or ingredients.

Dr. Autry frequently prescribes compounded drugs, most commonly to increase the concentration of medications to treat corneal ulcers.

She also turns to compounding if a medication isn’t available topically. For example, because vancomycin is not available in a topical form for *Staph.* coverage in severe corneal ulcers, compounding is an option. Another example is fortified, compounded ceftazidime for gram-negative coverage against *Pseudomonas.*

Additionally, if a patient with ocular surface disease needs more than Restasis (cyclosporine, Allergan), a compounding pharmacist can create cyclosporine ophthalmic ointment, autologous serum drops, tacrolimus solution or ointment, or albumin drops, she says.

Your pharmacist down the street is not necessarily going to be able to compound ocular preparations from scratch, so find a good compounding pharmacist ahead of time before a patient walks through the door, Dr. Autry suggests. (To find one, visit: www.pccarx.com/contact-us/find-a-compounder.)

One caveat about compounding medications is whether insurance will pay for it. “Once you get into these homebrews, insurance is going to be a question,” Dr. Potter says. “It’s never going to be a question on a corneal ulcer, but if I say, ‘I think the Restasis should be twice as strong and I think the insurance should pay for it,’ the answer is often no.”

Challenge #5: How do you prescribe for pediatric patients?

“Many practitioners are uncomfortable with using systemic medications in children, but this is simply a matter of gaining clinical experience,” Dr. Bartlett says. Get started by prescribing broad-spectrum antibiotics for internal hordeolum and preseptal cellulitis in children, he suggests.

“Dosage calculations are typically straightforward, and most of these medications have a wide range of safety,” he says.

Dr. Autry also suggests working up a pediatric dosing chart to have on-hand in the office. This resource could include the proper dosing of a typical drug you’d prescribe, such as amoxicillin or azithromycin, based on the child’s age and weight.

She provides this example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Volume of Augmentin ES-600 Powder for Oral Suspension providing 90mg/kg/day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.0mL twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.5mL twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.0mL twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.5mL twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>9.0mL twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>10.5mL twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>12.0mL twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>13.5mL twice daily</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One of the key challenges Dr. Gaddie sees: optometrists not gaining confidence in prescribing while being exposed to more ophthalmic diseases.

“Optometrists may have all the clinical knowledge in the world, but they still can be hesitant to prescribe,” he says. “I encourage doctors to start prescribing with low-risk conditions as a stepping stone to managing more complicated ocular diseases. Once optometrists start treating conditions such as allergy and dry eye, it gives them the confidence to tackle conditions such as glaucoma.”
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Is it ever okay for a patient with corneal ectasia to wear a scleral contact lens with corneal touch? **Edited by Joseph P. Shovlin, OD**

**Q** I have recently started to fit scleral lenses. One of my first cases is an extremely steep, peripherally thinned grafted cornea that now has ectasia. Regardless of what diameter lens and design I’ve tried, I can’t get the lens to clear the steep portion of the corneal ectasia. There’s about 0.5mm touch below the center of the cornea. Are there any frank contraindications to allowing a patient who finds the lens comfortable to wear this lens?

**A** Generally, every attempt should be made to clear the apical region; however, depending on the patient, some corneal touch may be okay.

“The standard definition for a well-fit scleral lens is that it completely vaults over the corneal surface,” says Greg DeNaeyer, OD, clinical director for Arena Eye Surgeons in Columbus, Ohio. “However, a complete vault is not always achieved for patients with severe corneal irregularity or for a scleral lens that significantly decenters.”

Dr. DeNaeyer points out there are studies that suggest flat-fitting contact lenses are associated with corneal incident scarring. Other research suggests that oxidative stress, including mechanical trauma, contributes to keratoconus. In the case of this particular patient, however, “the lens is probably acceptable as long as the patient is comfortable and the epithelium is healthy,” he says. “Monitor the patient at least every six months and advise them to return immediately if they experience discomfort.”

If the patient does in fact demonstrate epithelial disruption, Dr. DeNaeyer suggests piggybacking a scleral lens on a silicone hydrogel daily disposable. “The soft lens will act as a cushion to help protect the epithelium,” he says. “Silicone hydrogel lenses maximize transmissibility, and daily disposables help to reduce care complexity and deposit-related complications.”

Christine W. Sindt, OD, clinical associate professor at the University of Iowa, notes that this issue, referred to as a tilted graft or recurrence of keratoconus, is common. Typically seen in older grafts, it occurs when the host tissue thins and stretches, she adds. In some cases, “these grafts need to be fit with a reverse geometry design, meaning the secondary curve is steeper than the base curve,” Dr. Sindt says. “You may need to steepen and lengthen the secondary curve more or increase the optic zone size if the lens still touches the graft.”

Regardless, before even fitting the lens, Dr. Sindt recommends getting an endothelial cell count to make sure the cornea can support scleral lens wear. “It is common to experience corneal edema with endothelial cell counts under 800 cells/mm²,” she says. If the cornea is deemed healthy enough, Dr. Sindt suggests fitting a full scleral lens, rather than a mini scleral lens, since full sclerals have larger landing zones, making it easier to manipulate the intermediate curves.

---
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Get Your Priorities in Order

A patient presents with eye pain, elevated IOP, cataracts and vein occlusion. To get all your ducks in a row, which problem do you address first? **By James L. Fanelli, OD**

In February 2015, an 86-year-old white female presented on an urgent basis referred from a local urgent care center due to the possibility of zoster, with a note of “blisters” on the eyelid. She had been to the urgent care center the previous day with complaints of blurred vision in the right eye as well as periorcular pain on the right side.

The patient was in no acute distress. She complained of intermittent pain and redness in and around the right eye for the past couple of weeks. She mentioned that she had received the shingles vaccine about two years earlier. She denied any photopsia.

The last time I saw her in my office was in 2012, and she was lost to follow up until this presentation. What initially brought her into the urgent care center was the discomfort in her right eye, which she described as a dull, non-stabbing pain that had worsened in the past three days.

Current medications included omeprazole QD, metoprolol QD and an unknown Rx sleep aid HS. She had no allergies to medications.

**Diagnostic Data**

Her visual acuity was hand motions at three feet in her right eye, 20/40 in her left, neither improving with pinhole. She displayed an equivocal afferent pupillary defect in the right eye, with both pupils sluggishly reacting to light. Extraocular motilities were full in all positions of gaze.

Slit lamp examination of the anterior segment was remarkable for several items. Of minimal significance was mild blepharitis and dermatocatalaxis in both eyes. The cornea in the right eye was mildly hazy, with moderate striate keratopathy and guttatae. The cornea of the left was clear, except for a symmetric presentation of the guttatae.

Examination of the anterior chambers—specifically looking for cells and flare—was difficult in the right eye because of the corneal haze, so no cells were appreciated; the left anterior chamber was unremarkable. Iris details were also somewhat obscured in the right eye, and were normal in the left. The right eye also showed moderate episcleral injection.

Intraocular pressure measured 42mm Hg OD and 26mm Hg OS at 2:15 PM. Angles appeared to be open by Van Herick estimation. Examination of the anterior chambers—specifically looking for cells and flare—was difficult in the right eye because of the corneal haze, so no cells were appreciated; the left anterior chamber was unremarkable. Iris details were also somewhat obscured in the right eye, and were normal in the left. The right eye also showed moderate episcleral injection.

Intraocular pressure measured 42mm Hg OD and 26mm Hg OS at 2:15 PM. Angles appeared to be open by Van Herick estimation.

Upon dilation, her crystalline lenses were characterized by 2+ anterior and posterior cortical cataracts, 3+ nuclear cataracts and mild posterior subcapsular haze in both eyes. The posterior cortical cataract in the right eye was on the visual axis; that of the left eye was off the visual axis.

Her cup-to-disc ratios were 0.35 x 0.35 OD and 0.20 x 0.20 OS. Disc margins were distinct, although the right was somewhat hyperemic, and fine details were difficult to ascertain due to the media opacities in that eye.

Both maculae were characterized by retinal pigment epithelial granulation and drusen, consistent with mild nonangiogenic age-related macular degeneration. But the significant finding in the right eye was a central retinal vein occlusion (CRVO) with what appeared to be resorbing intraretinal hemorrhages in all quadrants. The retinal vasculature was characterized by moderate arteriolar sclerotic retinopathy in both eyes, clearly discernable in the left eye. The retinal venules were moderately tortuous OD>OS. Peripheral retinal evaluation was unremarkable OU.

Upon gonioscopic examination, details of the angle anatomy in the right eye were obscured by the hazy cornea. In the left eye, the angle was normal and open. Anterior segment OCT imaging confirmed the presence of open angles in both eyes.

OCT evaluation of the macula was unremarkable in the left eye, but the right was consistent with...
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macular edema associated with the CRVO. Lastly, I took fundus photos to document the state of the CRVO at this initial presentation.

**Diagnosis**

The patient’s right eye certainly has a CRVO of unknown duration, associated macular edema and significantly elevated IOP, OD>OS. The elevated IOP was very likely the source of her intermittent pain in the right eye. She had no evidence of an active outbreak of herpes zoster.

**Management and Discussion**

Elevated IOP can induce a CRVO. Also, one of the sequelae of longstanding CRVO is the development of neovascularization of the posterior and anterior segments, and subsequently, due to neovascularization of the iris and anterior chamber angle, neovascular glaucoma. Because the media were not clear, neovascularization of neither the posterior segment nor anterior segment were visible. The quandary at this visit pertained to the presence of two distinct clinical entities, each of which can induce the other.

So which came first? Did the VO induce neovascularization and subsequent neovascular glaucoma? Or, did elevated IOP (of non-neovascular origin) induce the VO?

In preparing a management plan for this patient, multiple problems need to be sorted out; so, it’s the optometrist’s duty to direct the patient’s care with the help of other subspecialties. It’s important to also include evaluations that may in fact help shed light on the ultimate cause of these two problems. Obviously, IOP needs to be lowered, not only to reduce the likelihood of neuroretinal rim damage, but also to mitigate the role that IOP may have had in inducing the VO. Given the macular edema associated with the VO, a retinal consult is in order, but not necessarily specifically for the reduction of the macular edema. On the contrary, a retinal consult was ordered primarily to obtain a fluorescein angiogram (FA) to help determine whether an occult retinal neovascularization was present. The presence of neovascularization of the retina can be a good indicator that the underlying etiology of the elevated IOP is, in fact, sequelae from the neovascularization due to retinal hypoxia.

At the completion of the initial visit, we scheduled the patient for a fluorescein angiogram, and I prescribed Lumigan (bimatoprost 0.01%, Allergan) HS OD as well as Alphagan P (brimonidine 0.1%, Allergan) BID OD.

When the patient returned for follow up, she was still in as much pain, but her IOP now measured 44mm Hg OD and 25mm Hg OS. Obviously, the IOP-lowering agents were having little effect, so the likelihood that her ocular hypertension was neovascular in origin seemed more plausible. The patient’s FA was scheduled the following day, so I did not make any changes in her medications pending the results.

Sure enough, the FA revealed occult neovascularization of the retina, and possible anterior segment late fluorescein staining was noted.

In light of the FA findings, and given that the IOP-lowering agents had no effect, we can safely assume that we are dealing with neovascular glaucoma. To answer the original question—which came first?—the vein occlusion was probably the precipitating factor that led to the patient’s current constellation of problems.

But there are a few other items that were put on the back burner until the clinical picture became clearer, and now need to be dealt with. One is her rather dense cataracts. The other is establishing the etiology of the VO in the first place as these are often associated with elevated blood pressure, elevated cholesterol and diabetes. This of course is managed by the patient’s primary health care provider, and I’ve contacted him for consultation.

The retinal specialist, whose view in the right eye was likewise limited, felt that the cataract in that eye should be removed prior to performing panretinal photocoagulation (PRP). My opinion was that the patient would be best served if the cataract surgery was performed by a glaucoma surgeon comfortable in lens extractions.

Ultimately, after consultation with a glaucoma surgeon, the current plan is to proceed with lens extraction with IOL in the right eye, with the combination of Ahmed valve implantation at the same time (to facilitate quicker IOP reduction and better long-term IOP stability), followed shortly by PRP.

For now, the patient is continuing with follow-up visits here. In such cases, the optometrist must maintain visits with these patients during surgical interventions. After all, the patient will return to the OD for long-term care, so it’s critical that the optometrist be involved with all aspects of the perioperative care.
A 86-year-old Hispanic male with a history of wet age-related macular degeneration in both eyes presented with a chief complaint of painless, progressive vision loss in both eyes. He received multiple intravitreal Avasitin injections, most recently three months prior in the left eye.

His past ocular history was significant for a recent intraocular lens exchange and anterior vitrectomy in the left eye.

His ocular medications included prednisolone acetate in the left eye four times a day, as well as Timolol twice a day in the left eye due to a history of ocular hypertension from steroid response. He admitted to very poor compliance with both of his topical ophthalmic medications.

His medical history was significant for multiple systemic pathologies, including benign prostatic hyperplasia, arthritis, hypertension, osteoporosis and a pacemaker. His systemic medication list was extensive, although none with known significant ocular side effects.

Upon examination, his distance BCVA was 20/60+ in the right eye and counting fingers at one foot in the left eye. His intraocular pressure was 18mm Hg in the right eye and 31mm Hg in the left eye.

The slit lamp examination of his right eye revealed a posterior chamber intraocular lens with a patent peripheral iridotomy and superior corneal sutures intact. The anterior chamber of his left eye revealed 1+ cell. Fundus findings of the left eye are represented in figure 1. Heidelberg SD-OCT images of his left eye are available for review in figures 2 and 3.

Take The Retina Quiz

1. What is the likely cause for vision loss in this patient’s left eye?
   a. High IOP causing glaucomatous damage from chronic steroid response.
   b. Inflammation from recent IOL exchange.
   c. Fibrosis and geographic atrophy from age-related macular degeneration.
   d. All of the above.

2. What finding is represented by the arrows in figure 2 and 3?
   a. Irvine-Gass macular edema.
   b. Outer retinal tubulation.
   c. Cystoid macular edema.
   d. Subretinal fluid.

3. What other diagnostic test would be helpful in determining the etiology of the findings in figures 2 and 3?
   a. B-scan echography.
   b. Fundus autofluorescence.
   c. Fluorescein angiography.
   d. Time-domain ocular coherence tomography.

4. What is the appropriate treatment for the findings highlighted in figures 2 and 3?
   a. Anti-VEGF injection.
   b. Focal laser photocoagulation.
   c. Combination of topical NSAID and steroid.
   d. Observation.

For answers, turn to page 106.

Diagnosis

The structures denoted with arrows on the SD-OCT (figures 2 and 3) represent outer retinal tubules. Thanks to the precision of SD-OCT, investigators in 2009 detected a “peculiar” change in the outer retina in their study of 63 patients with advanced retinal disease.1 They described round or ovoid spaces with a hyporeflective center and hyperreflective border within the outer nuclear layer that simulated the appearance of cystoid macular edema or subretinal fluid on isolated scans. The study also made use of SD-OCT C-scans to allow en face visualization of the outer retina, which showed that these spaces...
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Often continued linearly throughout the outer nuclear layer in branching tubular structures. They determined these changes represented “outer retinal tubulation.”

Outer retinal tubules are commonly seen in advanced AMD patients. In the CATT study, outer retinal tubules were present in 10.1% of AMD patients at 56 weeks after initial treatment with anti-VEGF and 17.4% at 104 weeks after treatment.

In patients with geographic atrophy, outer retinal tubules may be present in 21% to 26% of patients. Outer retinal tubules can also be found in other advanced retinal diseases such as associated CNVM and subretinal fibrosis, pattern dystrophy, AZOOR, multifocal choroiditis, pseudoxanthoma elasticum, Stargardt disease, gyrate atrophy, choroideremia, chronic central serous chorioretinopathy, retinitis pigmentosa, cone dystrophy and Bietti crystalline dystrophy.

Interestingly, Christine Curcio and colleagues first described outer retinal tubules in a histopathologic study of AMD in 1996. They found that surviving photoreceptors in advanced AMD had an apparent reorganization into interconnecting tubes over areas of scarring. However, it was not until the advent and common clinical use of SD-OCT that a clearer understanding of outer retinal tubules was realized.

Initial studies postulated the process of outer retinal tubulation likely begins with sublethal injury of photoreceptors leading to invagination of the photoreceptor layer until opposing ends establish connections and form tubular structures.

Another study compared the appearance of outer retinal tubulation in patients with advanced AMD on SD-OCT to their actual histology in post-mortem retinal tubule specimens, allowing researchers to definitively correspond OCT appearance to anatomical composition. That team determined the defining features of outer retinal tubulation on histology as a circular or ovoid external limiting membrane border made by Müller cell processes with radially oriented photoreceptors in four phases of degeneration, ranging from both outer and inner segments to minimal or no photoreceptor segments.

Beyond the clinical appearance, they also determined the location of the outer retinal tubulation to be in the outer nuclear layer with a hyperreflective band in either a closed or open configuration, with often overlying dysmorphic or absent retinal pigment epithelium. These defining features help differentiate these lesions from cystoid macular edema, which is more commonly found in the outer plexiform and inner nuclear layer and does not have a hyperreflective border on SD-OCT.

It is easy to confuse the clinical appearance of outer retinal tubules and cystoid macular edema. It is clinically important to make the distinction because improper diagnosis may prompt physicians to unnecessarily treat with anti-VEGF injections or focal laser photocoagulation when no actual fluid is present. In fact, outer retinal tubules are relatively refractory to treatment and the mere presence suggests the disease is end-stage and relatively quiescent and therefore this finding alone shouldn’t prompt intervention.

Our patient was treated with an intravitreal Avastin injection in the left eye solely due to his preference for a treat-and-extends approach, as his fundus exam and SD-OCT did not reveal any intra-retinal fluid. He was also counseled extensively on the importance of compliance with his topical ocular medications, and was told to resume Prednisolone Acetate in the left eye with a weekly taper, and well as Timolol twice a day in the left eye.

The presence of outer retinal tubulation on the SD-OCT images of this patient’s left eye likely indicates quiescent and end-stage age-related macular degeneration.

This case was written and provided by Savannah Brunt, OD, ocular disease resident at Bascom Palmer Eye Institute.

References:
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A sk the average person what an optometrist does, and chances are the response will include the term “glasses.” As sophisticated as our profession becomes, and as much as we continue to expand our scope of practice, spectacle frames and corrective lenses will always reside at the heart and soul of optometry.

Even today, eyewear plays a major role in how we make a living. According to a report published by the Academy for Eye Care Excellence, private practice optometrists derive about 44% of their gross income from the sale of prescription eyeglasses; this exceeds even the revenue earned from professional examination fees, which amounts to only 38%.

But can we gain something more with the use of these devices? Could this workhorse of optometric practice pull double-duty and help to address a growing health problem in eye care; namely, ocular surface disease? Recent developments suggest it may be possible.

Moisture-Retention Eyewear

The concept of moisture-retention eyewear is not new. Publications detailing the design of moist-chamber spectacles date back nearly 70 years and explicit instruction on how to fabricate moisture chamber eyeglasses is available in the optometric literature from 20 years ago. Highly respected clinician-scientists have consistently touted the potential benefits of increased periocular humidity for alleviating the signs and symptoms of dry eye. A meta-analysis of seven prior studies even found that the use of moisture chambers or goggles provided more effective corneal protection than lubricating drops in critical care patients with the potential for exposure keratopathy.

Two of the more highly regarded and comprehensive publications in recent history regarding dry eye disease—the Delphi Panel report and the DEWS report—both recommend moisture-conserving spectacles as part of their treatment algorithms. However, this therapeutic modality seems to have always been perceived as a last resort treatment; in fact, the Delphi panel placed moisture goggles in the same category (DTS Level 4 Severity) with punctal cautery, oral cyclosporine therapy and surgical tarsorrhaphy.

Why would this be the case? We speculate that the cumbersome nature of these devices and poor cosmesis noted with the most common options, such as swim goggles or acrylic side-shields, has precluded their widespread acceptance by patients. Additionally, these devices possess no curative effect and do not address the root cause of ocular surface disorders.

Severe dry eye can result in corneal epithelial disruption. In one study, half the subjects ranked their symptoms as severe, but after one-month one month of using moisture retention eyewear, only 3% did so.

Such a statement, however, is akin to suggesting that, since artificial tear preparations are merely palliative in nature, they should be used only as a last resort. Of course, we all know that these topical agents actually represent first-line therapy for virtually all patients with dry eye complaints. Could moisture-retention devices be similarly placed in the front line?

Research

Despite the apparent stigma, recent research has evaluated a new generation of moisture-retention eyewear and the results are most compelling. In an independent study, researchers evaluated 11 patients with evaporative dry eye over three months. Inclusion criteria consisted of symptomatic dry eye complaints, a tear breakup time (TBUT) less than five seconds, Schirmer score greater than 8mm and corneal fluorescein
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staining present in at least one quadrant of one eye.

Individuals with punctal plugs or punctal cautery and those wearing contact lenses were excluded from the study. All subjects were prescribed 7eye (formerly Panoptix) moisture-retention eyewear and were able to select from a variety of sizes, styles and tints to allow for an optimal fit while maximizing both appearance and function. Subjects were instructed to use the eyewear in addition to their usual treatment and were evaluated before and after the three months for dry eye severity using the Symptom Assessment in Dry Eye (SANDE) index and corneal fluorescein staining.11

At the conclusion of the study, subjects reported an overall acceptability rate of 72% (Likert score 6.48 out of 9) for the eyewear in terms of tolerability and symptom relief. The SANDE score diminished by an impressive 55% and both a clinically and statistically significant reduction in mean corneal staining was seen in all corneal quadrants. Frequency of artificial tear instillation also decreased from a mean of eight times per day to just four and a half times per day, or 44%. Tear break-up time was the only factor not impacted.

The authors concluded that moisture retention eyewear might be a valuable adjunct in the management of evaporative dry eye and that the low profile design of the 7eye product line provided better cosmesis, increasing compliance.

That study echoes an earlier, unpublished multicenter study that followed 110 patients suffering from transient or chronic dry eye symptoms for a month. Surveys conducted before and after this trial show a distinct improvement after wearing moisture-retaining eyewear in nine specific complaints including burning, redness, itching, diminished vision, light sensitivity, discomfort in air conditioning, grittiness, dryness and excessive tearing, with a mean overall reduction of 57%. Initially, half of the subjects ranked their symptoms as severe, but at the one-month visit only 3% did so.

Thirty percent of subjects reported their overall symptoms were eliminated when wearing the moisture-retaining eyewear.12

An Old-School Approach

The most recent studies of dry eye in the United States suggest a prevalence rate of nearly 15%.13

Given the disease’s pervasive nature—growing ever more common with the aging of our population—it seems inappropriate that a noninvasive, potentially beneficial treatment option, such as moisture-retention eyewear, is relegated to such an obscure and limited status. If the studies are correct, this treatment modality can alleviate symptomatic irritation in dry eye patients by 50% or more.

Given our profession’s historical expertise with the fabrication, handling and dispensing of corrective eyewear, this approach to dry eye seems like a natural fit.

Perhaps the time has come to reexamine the benefits of moisture-retention eyewear for the adjunctive therapy of dry eye disease.

Sometimes, an old-school approach is the best way to tackle a new-age problem.

Dr. Kabat is Clinical Care Consultant at TearWell Advanced Dry Eye Treatment Center in Memphis, Tenn. Neither he nor Dr. Souwka has any direct financial interest in the products mentioned in this article.
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Caution! Traumatic Cataracts Ahead

What to expect when you’re expecting the unexpected during cataract surgery.

As well-defined, predictable and refined as routine cataract surgery has become, there is one situation in which the surgeon must always plan on surprises and have multiple alternate techniques at the ready: traumatic cataracts. These pose a unique set of problems for surgeons, and even the most thorough slit lamp exam can’t fully prepare surgeons for what they’ll experience in the operating room.

Hope for the Best, Prepare for the Worst

Any amount of injury that causes a traumatic cataract to form will also likely affect collateral tissues. If the eye is stable, the most common associated structural defects would include: iris synechiae, angle recession, iridodialysis, weakened or lost zonular support and lens capsule defects. Careful slit lamp examination will allow you to see much, but not all, of the extent of these defects.

During pre-op evaluation, the surgeon will pay extra attention to any areas that show angle recession or iridodialysis, as this will suggest localized lens zonule defects. The one thing we can’t always see well, or ever confidently guess, is the amount and extent of zonular compromise or posterior capsule integrity. For this reason, any traumatic cataract is approached with a worst-case scenario mindset, and alternative options are planned based on intraoperative findings.

The surgeon will not know what they are truly dealing with until entering the eye and assessing the structural support of the lens. In almost all circumstances, the surgeon will attempt an extracapsular cataract extraction (leaving the capsular bag intact) and place a new lens in the bag, if possible. When zonules are compromised or missing, the surgeon can use capsular tension rings to maintain the size and circumference of the capsule to allow insertion and centration of a new lens.

Intraoperative Concerns

A significant concern with these cases is the risk of intraoperative vitreous prolapse. When the eye is fully dilated and the lens capsule is manipulated, vitreous may escape anteriorly around the capsule or newly placed IOL, leading to further complications or the need for a vitrectomy. Dispersive viscoelastics, often used to maintain anterior chamber volume, can both keep the capsular bag inflated and tamponade any incipient vitreous prolapse.

If the capsular bag is not suitable for lens insertion, alternative options include: placing the lens in the sulcus (in front of the capsular bag but behind the iris) if there is enough anatomy remaining; sutureg a posterior chamber lens to the iris; placing an anterior chamber lens; or leaving the patient aphakic.

Each of these scenarios requires unique lenses, apart from aphakics, that would have to be on hand and preselected based on the patient’s biometry data.

Knowing is Half the Battle

Because of the unknown variables and extensive contingency planning necessary, it is critical to identify these patients before they enter the operating room. A thorough history of all cataract patients should be performed—including always asking about a history of eye trauma. This is especially important for patients of routine cataract age where natural senile lens changes can be hastily assumed. If any history of eye trauma is reported, it should be relayed to the surgeon as early as possible to allow for adequate preparation.
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Practice Management

Website Personalization Service
Let patients hear your unique take on what makes your practice special—in your own voice. A new service offered by Clompus Consulting analyzes the strategic advantages of your office and creates a personalized script for you. Record a message at home or at the office using headphones provided by the company and the service will edit it into a broadcast-quality audio file that you can embed on your website.

Digital audio services offer a better way to make an emotional connection with patients on your website, according to the company. You can also change the audio message several times a year to highlight new services and products.

Diagnostic Equipment

New Field Analyzer
A new visual field analyzer is designed to improve the speed and accuracy of perimetry tests, according to manufacturer Zeiss. The Humphrey Field Analyzer 3 (HFA3) automates trial lens correction based on the patient’s refractive data, to reduce setup time. It also captures images of eye position at each stimulus point, allowing you to check for false negatives due to ptosis or misalignment. Zeiss also says the gaze tracker on the HFA3 provides faster initialization and works on a wider spectrum of patients compared with earlier HFA models, and that the touch screen interface for technicians is faster and more intuitive.

HFA3 test results are equal to and interchangeable with results from prior generations of the HFA, according to the manufacturer.

Contact Lenses

Expanded Power Range for Multifocal CLs
Now, more presbyopes who previously could not wear contact lenses have a new option to consider. Biotrue OneDay for Presbyopia (nesofilcon A) contact lenses are now available in an expanded power range for those with low-add needs, according to Bausch + Lomb.

Launched last summer with low-add correction through the powers of +3.00D to -6.00D, the range has been expanded to +6.00D to -9.00D (0.25D steps), increasing multifocal options for more patients.

Additional high-add powers through the same range are expected later this year, B+L says.

Plus Powers for Air Optix Colors
Hyperopic patients interested in color-enhancing contact lenses now can consider Air Optix Colors from Alcon, as the company has just added plus powers to its monthly replacement SiHy product line. The full power range is now +6.00D to -6.00D in quarter-diopter steps and -6.50D to -8.00D in half-diopter steps. All nine colors are available at all powers, Alcon says.

Simplifying Lens Care

A new contact lens case can help make the lens cleaning and disinfecting process simpler for patients by color-coding the storage chambers, according to manufacturer Alcon. The new case that comes with Clear Care solution has blue and white lens baskets to help patients more easily differentiate between left and right lenses before and after cleaning. Also, lens baskets in the new case now have tabs to enable easier opening.

Alcon says Clear Care patients are more compliant with lens care regimens, and is encouraging doctors to see the product as a “problem preventer” rather than a problem solver and offer it more routinely to patients.

Dry Eye Care

Preservative-Free Eye Drops
Patients who experience dry eye symptoms and routinely need an artificial tear can now use a preservative-free product in a multi-use bottle.

Oasis Tears PF Preservative-Free lubricant eye drops are packaged in a 10mL bottle that prevents contamination with a specially designed closing tip, valve and air venting system that prevents microbial entry into the bottle, according to Oasis Medical. Replacing the usual unit-dose vials, this system keeps the drops sterile for up to 90 days after opening, the company says.
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■ 2-3. 8th Annual Evidence Based Care in Optometry Conference. Tuf Valley Conference Center and Resort, Ellicott City, MD. Hosted by: Maryland Optometric Association & Johns Hopkins-Wilmer Eye Institute. To register, email Anni Phan at aphan@marylandoptometric.org.
■ 3. OptiWest Regional Conference. Anaheim Marriott Suites, Anaheim, CA. Hosted by: California Optometric Association. CE Hours: 6. Key Faculty: Steven Ferrucci, Bruce Onofrey, Mary Schmidt. To register, go to www.optowest.com; call Sarah Harbin at (916) 266-5022 or email sharbin@cvision.org.
■ 3. NUNO Pediatric Section CE. New England College of Optometry, Boston, MA. Hosted by: New England College of Optometry Alumni Association. CE Hours: 5. Key Faculty: Mark Dunbar, Michael Springer. To register, go to www.neco.edu/academics/continuing-education/sunday-series; call Margery Warren at (617) 587-5687 or email ce@neco.edu.
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■ 26-July 5. A Comprehensive Update on Contemporary Eye Care. Northern European Capitals Cruise, departs Copenhagen, Denmark. Hosted by: Dr. Travel Seminars/The New Jersey Society of Optometric Physicians. Key Faculty: Randall Thomas. CE Hours: 12. To register, email Robert Pascal at info@DrTravel.com; visit DrTravel.com.

July 2015

■ 10-12. 21st Conference on Clinical Vision Care. Southern
College of Optometry, Memphis, TN. Hosted by: OEP Foundation. CE Hours: 17. To register, email Theresa Krejci at theresaakrejci@floridaeyes.org or go to www.oepf.org.


22-25. Northern Rockies Optometric Conference. Snow King Hotel, Jackson, WY. Host: Northern Rockies Optometric Conference. Key Faculty: Ben Gaddie, Mark Dunbar, Rebecca Wartman. CE Hours: 16. To register, email Kari Cline at director@nroomeeting.com, or visit www.nroomeeting.com.


23-26. CE in the Rockies. Rocky Mountain Park Inn, Estes Park, CO. Host: University of Houston College of Optometry. Key Faculty: Danica Marelli. CE Hours: 21. To register, email optce@uh.edu, call (713) 743-1900 or visit ce.opt@uh.edu/
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Phone ................... (800) 446-8245
Fax ........................ (813) 975-7762
Phone ................... (800) 341-2020
Fax ........................ (800) 447-7511
Phone ................... (800) 341-2020
Fax ........................ (800) 447-7511

Bausch + Lomb.........................39
Vistacon ...................................2-3
Phone .................... (800) 451-3937
Fax ........................ (817) 551-4352
Phone ..................... (866) 246-8245
Fax ........................ (813) 975-7762
Phone ................... (800) 323-0000
Fax ........................ (925) 557-4101
Phone ................... (800) 341-2020
Fax ........................ (800) 447-7511
Phone ................... (800) 341-2020
Fax ........................ (800) 447-7511
Phone ................... (800) 341-2020
Fax ........................ (800) 447-7511

Carl Zeiss Meditec Inc. ...............13
Vision Source................................73
Phone ................... (877) 486-7473
Fax ........................ (925) 557-4101
Phone ................... (212) 243-7300
Fax ........................ (800) 888-325-2396
Phone ................... (800) 341-2020
Fax ........................ (800) 447-7511
Phone ................... (800) 341-2020
Fax ........................ (800) 447-7511
Phone ................... (800) 341-2020
Fax ........................ (800) 447-7511

CooperVision ......................15, 45
Vistacon ...................................2-3
Phone ................... (800) 341-2020
Fax ........................ (800) 447-7511
Phone ................... (800) 341-2020
Fax ........................ (800) 447-7511
Phone ................... (800) 341-2020
Fax ........................ (800) 447-7511
Phone ................... (800) 341-2020
Fax ........................ (800) 447-7511

Haag-Streit .........................41
Vistacon ...................................2-3
Phone .................... (800) 627-6286
Fax ........................ (800) 627-6286
Phone .................... (800) 627-6286
Fax ........................ (800) 627-6286
Phone .................... (800) 627-6286
Fax ........................ (800) 627-6286
Phone .................... (800) 627-6286
Fax ........................ (800) 627-6286

Keeler Instruments .................5
Vistacon ...................................2-3
Phone ..................... (800) 523-5620
Fax ........................ (800) 523-5620
Phone ..................... (800) 523-5620
Fax ........................ (800) 523-5620
Phone ..................... (800) 523-5620
Fax ........................ (800) 523-5620
Phone ..................... (800) 523-5620
Fax ........................ (800) 523-5620

Lexant Instruments .............75
Vistacon ...................................2-3
Phone .................... (800) 446-8092
Fax ........................ (800) 446-8092
Phone .................... (800) 446-8092
Fax ........................ (800) 446-8092
Phone .................... (800) 446-8092
Fax ........................ (800) 446-8092
Phone .................... (800) 446-8092
Fax ........................ (800) 446-8092

M&S Technologies .................27
Vision Source ................................73
Phone ................... (877) 225-6101
Fax ........................ (847) 763-9170
Phone ................... (877) 225-6101
Fax ........................ (847) 763-9170
Phone ................... (877) 225-6101
Fax ........................ (847) 763-9170
Phone ................... (877) 225-6101
Fax ........................ (847) 763-9170

This advertiser index is published as a convenience and not as part of the advertising contract. Every care will be taken to index correctly. No allowance will be made for errors due to spelling, incorrect page number, or failure to insert.
NEW!
MODULAR SOLUTIONS
FOR EVERY PART OF YOUR PRACTICE

EIDOM™

FREE FINANCING FOR 3 YEARS*
*See office for details. Offer有效期至2015年3月31日。
FREE COMPLETE PRACTICE DESIGN SERVICES

1-877-274-9300 An Eos Group Company

Merchandise Offered

Optical Dispensary
Pre-Testing / Eye Exam Room
Contact Lens Room
Business Office

Take a closer look...
at Eyecom for your electronic health record needs!

tablet compliant share patient data securely over 25 years experience cloud-based access anytime, anywhere

Interested? Contact Michael at Michael@ovitskyvisioncare.com or at 773-588-3090.

If you are proficient in these areas and you are comfortable driving, here are your benefits: Excellent Pay + bonuses (≥$145K), travel bonuses that will exceed expenses incurred, new optical equipment, a personal assistant, but most important helping people in need of our professional services.

Only serious inquiries please. We will help with relocation expenses, if necessary.
Put your vision to work for you.

Become Board Certified by the
AMERICAN BOARD OF OPTOMETRY

Exam held each January & July
Register at americanboardofoptometry.org

The American Board of Optometry Board Certification program is certified by the National Commission for Certifying Agencies, and its Maintenance of Certification process is approved by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services.

ACCESS HEALTHCARE CAPITAL

The key to making Professional Healthcare Practice Financing simple. Whether you are buying, starting, or updating your practice, Access Healthcare capital is here to help you with your financing needs with one common goal. We want to help you maximize your cash flow. Let us help you unleash your Entrepreneurial Spirit.

Easy App Up To $250,000

- 100% Financing plus working capital
- Simplified Processing for loans up to $250,000
- Application Only for equipment
- Partnership Buy-In Programs and technology purchases

www.accesshealthcarecapital.com • info@narxeeye.com
Access Healthcare Capital • 1-888-727-4470 • P.O. Box 349, Gladwyne, PA 19035

OPTOMETRIC PRACTICE FOR SALE
NORTHEASTERN PA

Very Successful ongoing optometric practice for sale in Northeastern PA. The practice is grossing 600K/yr. This practice is one of a kind with a very large and broad patient base and large contact lens base. The practice services many vision care programs that lends to its success, along with a full complement of direct paying patients. I will be willing to work in the practice.
Serious replies only.
Email: resume6@kerhgroup.com
WITH COMPLETE NAME AND CONTACT INFO

PRACTICE SALES & APPRAISAL

Expert Services for:
✓ Buying or Selling a Practice
✓ Practice Appraisal
✓ Practice Financing
✓ Partner Buy-in or Buy-out

Call for a Free Consultation
(800) 416-2055
www.TransitionConsultants.com

PRACTICE SALES
NATIONWIDE

Practices for Sale
Expert Services for Buying or Selling a Practice
Call for a Free Consultation
(800) 416-2055
www.TransitionConsultants.com

QuikEyes
Optometry EHR

- $198 per month after low cost set-up fee
- Quick Set-Up and Easy to Use
- No Server Needed
- Corporate and Private OD practices
- 14 Day Free Demo Trial
- Email/Text Communications

www.quikeyes.com

PACKAGE DEAL

East Central Florida, long established optometric practice located in 100 year old historic building.

Practice gross 276K.
Building worth about 150K.
Will sell both for 189k or practice alone for 79k.

I’m retiring and motivated.
For more info email floridapractice@yahoo.com.

www.PracticeConsultants.com

www.TransitionConsultants.com
**EYE-SIGHT 20/20, Inc.**

**Presents Spring 2015**

**Saturday/Sunday, June 6-7, 2015**
16 hours of Advanced COPE
Approved CE for Optometrists

Our Respected Presenters:
JEROME SHERMAN, OD, FAAO
SHERRY BASS, OD, FAAO
JIMMY BARTLETT, OD, FAAO
ANTHONY LITWAK, OD, FAAO

**Join US.**

"Where Doctors Gather to Share Knowledge & Experience"

FOXWOODS CASINO- Ledyard, CT

Contact:
Dr. Antoinette D. Parvis 508-987-9679
or visit the website for all details:
www.eyesightce.com

**Upcoming Events**
All 8 hours in Natick, Mass
April 19th
Sept 27th
Oct 18th
and Nov 15th

---

**STAFF OPTOMETRIST**

Bard Optical is a leading Midwest vision care organization in business for over 70 years and we are still growing. The company is based in Peoria, IL, with 20 retail offices throughout the central Illinois area, as far north as Sterling and as far south as Jacksonville. Once again this year we were named to the Top 50 Optical Retailers in the United States by Vision Monday – currently ranking 37th. A progressive optometric staff is vital to the continued growth of our organization whose foundation is based on one-on-one patient service. We are currently accepting CV/resumes for optometrists focused on full scope primary medical patient care. The candidate must have an Illinois license with therapeutics. The practice includes (but is not limited to) general optometry, contact lenses, and geriatric care. Salaries, full-time positions are available with excellent growth programs and benefits. Some part-time opportunities may be available also. Please email your information to hr@bardoptical.com or fax to 309-693-9750. Mailing address if more convenient is Bard Optical, Att: HR, 8309 N Knoxville Avenue, Peoria, IL 61615. Ask about opportunities within Bard Optical. We have openings in several existing and new offices opening soon in central Illinois.

**www.bardoptical.com**

---

**OCULAR SYMPOSIUM**

**Lectures in Ocular Diagnosis and Treatment**

**Formal Lectures for Optometrists by Noted Subspecialists in Ophthalmology**

**Friday, Saturday, Sunday, June 5-7, 2015**
24 hours CE credits (8 hours each day)

**SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**TOPICS TO INCLUDE**

- Refractive Surgery
- Glaucoma
- Oculoplastics
- Ocular Trauma
- Neuro-Ophthalmology
- Retina, OCT
- Ocular Oncology
- Ocular Pediatrics

For information call or write:
Ocular Symposium • P.O. Box 640327 • San Francisco • CA • 94164
(415) 278-9940 • FAX (415) 345-1165
e-mail: ocularsym@aol.com

---

**Do you have Equipment and Supplies for Sale?**

Contact us today for classified advertising:
Toll free: 888-498-1460 • E-mail: sales@kerhgroup.com
History

A 33-year-old white female presented with a chief complaint of blurry vision in both eyes, more so in the left than the right, for the previous three months. She was able to pinpoint that the constant blur developed just after she was in an auto accident. She reported hitting the left side of her head on the back of the passenger seat, in a slow moving vehicle accident while not wearing a seat belt. Her previous ocular and systemic histories were unremarkable and she denied any known allergies to medications or other substances.

Diagnostic Data

Her best corrected entering Snellen visual acuity was 20/20 in the right eye and 20/30 in the left eye at distance and near. Refraction revealed hyperopia of +0.75D in the right eye and +1.50D in the left eye, with no improvement in vision for either eye. The pertinent biomicroscopic findings are illustrated in the photographs. Her intraocular pressure was measured as 15mm Hg in the right eye and 18mm Hg in the left eye using Goldmann applanation tonometry. Dilated fundus examination revealed no significant posterior pole or peripheral retinal findings. The nerves were distinct, with cup-to-disc ratios of 0.3/0.35 in both eyes.

An optical coherence tomography scan was performed and revealed no sign of macular edema, hole, sustained vitreomacular traction or retinal detachment in either eye. Laser interferometry was performed, with improved visual acuity measuring 20/25.

Your Diagnosis

What is your diagnosis and presumed cause of the condition? How would you approach this case? What is the likely prognosis?

To find out, please visit Review of Optometry online at www.reviewofoptometry.com. Click on the cover icon for this month’s issue and select “Diagnostic Quiz” from the table of contents.

Retina Quiz Answers (from page 88): 1) c; 2) b; 3) c; 4) d.

Next Month in the Mag

May is Review of Optometry’s annual dry eye report. Topics include:

- Subjective vs. Objective Mismatch in Dry Eye: What To Do?
- Rx Dry Eye Drugs in the Pipeline
- Slit Lamp Essentials: Meibomian Gland Expression
- Plus—Women’s Eye Health: Gender Distinctions and Treatment Decisions (earn 2 CE credits)
**Best-in-class properties for best-in-class performance**

Bausch + Lomb ULTRA® contact lenses with MoistureSeal® technology combine best-in-class physical properties for a revolutionary silicone hydrogel lens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dk/t</th>
<th>MODULUS</th>
<th>ASPHERIC OPTICS</th>
<th>THIN EDGE DESIGN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bausch + Lomb ULTRA®</strong> contact lenses</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACUVUE OASYS</strong></td>
<td>147</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AIR OPTIX AQUA</strong></td>
<td>138</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biofinity</strong></td>
<td>160</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patient preferred for end-of-day comfort over the leading silicone hydrogel lenses**

2 to 1 vs. ACUVUE OASYS

3 to 1 vs. AIR OPTIX AQUA

4 to 1 vs. Biofinity

**Retains moisture for a full 16 hours.**

Go to www.blultra.com or contact a Bausch + Lomb sales representative today

---

**REFERENCES:**


2. Results from a 22-investigator, multi-site study of Bausch + Lomb Ultra contact lenses with MoistureSeal technology, on 327 current silicone hydrogel lens wearers. After 7 days of wear, subjects completed an online survey. Subjects rated performance across a range of attributes. Preference comparisons represent only those subjects expressing a preference. Ratio is based on the average across the silicone hydrogel lenses represented in the study.
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®/™ are trademarks of Bausch & Lomb Incorporated or its affiliates. All other product/brand names are trademarks of their respective owners.
NOW APPROVED

Olopatadine is licensed from Kyowa Hakko Kirin Co., Ltd. Japan
©2015 Novartis 01/15 PAZ15017JAD

From Alcon, committed to providing new treatment options for patients.

ANTICIPATED RETAIL AVAILABILITY MARCH 10

Pazeo™ (olopatadine hydrochloride ophthalmic solution) 0.7%